



Founder, Editorial and Publisher
POLISSIA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

e-ISSN 2709-8877

ISSN: 2663-2144

SCIENTIFIC

H O R I Z O N S

НАУКОВІ ГОРИЗОНТИ

Засновник, редакція, видавець:
Поліський національний університет

Рік заснування: 1998

*Рекомендовано до друку та поширення
через мережу Інтернет Вченою радою
Поліського національного університету
(протокол № 5 від 27 грудня 2023 року)*

**Рішення національної ради України
з питань телебачення і радіомовлення № 1533.**
Ідентифікатор медіа - R30-01593

Науковий журнал включено до категорії А Переліку наукових фахових видань України, у яких можуть публікуватися результати дисертаційних робіт на здобуття наукових ступенів доктора та кандидата ветеринарних, економічних, сільськогосподарських і технічних наук зі спеціальностей: 051 – Економіка; 071 – Облік і оподаткування; 072 – Фінанси, банківська справа та страхування; 073 – Менеджмент; 075 – Маркетинг; 076 – Підприємництво, торгівля та біржова діяльність; 101 – Екологія; 133 – Галузеве машинобудування; 201 – Агрономія; 202 – Захист і карантин рослин; 203 – Садівництво та виноградарство; 204 – Технологія виробництва і переробки продукції тваринництва; 205 – Лісове господарство; 206 – Садово-паркове господарство; 208 – Агроінженерія; 211 – Ветеринарна медицина (наказ МОН України № 1166 від 23 грудня 2022 р.)

Журнал представлено у міжнародних наукометричних базах даних, репозитаріях та пошукових системах: Інституційний репозитарій Поліського національного університету, AGRICOLA, CAB Abstracts and Global Health (CABI), Open Academic Journals Index (OAJI), Scopus, Index Copernicus, Реєстр наукових видань України, Національна бібліотека України імені В. І. Вернадського, Crossref, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), ERIH PLUS, MIAR, International System for Agricultural Science and Technology (AGRIS)

Адреса редакції:
Поліський національний університет
10008, б-р Старий, 7, м. Житомир, Україна
E-mail: info@sciencehorizon.com.ua
<https://sciencehorizon.com.ua/uk>

SCIENTIFIC HORIZONS

Founder, Editorial and Publisher:

Polissia National University

Year of foundation: 1998

*Recommended for printing and distribution
via the Internet by the Academic Council
of Polissia National University
(Minutes No. 5 of December 27, 2023)*

**Decision of the National Council
of Television and Radio Broadcasting of Ukraine No. 1533.**

Media identifier – R30-01593

The scientific journal is included in category A of the List of scientific professional publications of Ukraine, in which the results of dissertations for the degree of doctor and candidate of veterinary, economic, agricultural, and technical sciences can be published in the following specialties: 051 – Economy; 071 – Accounting and Taxation; 072 – Finance, Banking and Insurance; 073 – Management; 075 – Marketing; 076 – Entrepreneurship, Trade and Exchange Activities; 101 – Ecology; 133 – Sectoral Engineering; 201 – Agronomy; 202 – Plant Protection and Quarantine; 203 – Horticulture and Viticulture; 204 – Technology of Production and Processing of Livestock Products; 205 – Forestry; 206 – Park and Gardening Management; 208 – Agricultural Engineering; 211 – Veterinary Medicine (Order of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine No. 1166 of December 23, 2022)

The journal is presented international scientometric databases, repositories and scientific systems: Institutional Repository of Polissia National University, AGRICOLA, CAB Abstracts and Global Health (CABI), Open Academic Journals Index (OAJI), Scopus, Index Copernicus, Register of Scientific Publications of Ukraine, Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine, Crossref, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), ERIH PLUS, MIAR, International System for Agricultural Science and Technology (AGRIS)

Editors office address:

Polissia National University
10008, 7 Staryi Blvd., Zhytomyr, Ukraine
E-mail: info@sciencehorizon.com.ua
<https://sciencehorizon.com.ua/en>

НАУКОВІ ГОРИЗОНТИ

Том 27, № 1 2024

НАУКОВИЙ ЖУРНАЛ
Засновано 12 березня 1998 р.

Періодичність випуску: дванадцять разів на рік

Редакційна колегія

Головний редактор Олег Васильович Скидан	ректор, д-р екон. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Заступник головного редактора Людмила Романчук	д-р с.-г. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Національні члени редколегії	
Савелій Кухарець	д-р техн. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Іван Грабар	д-р техн. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Ярослав Ярош	д-р техн. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Тетяна Федонюк	д-р с.-г. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Наталія Сорока	д-р вет. наук, професор, Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Україна
Руслана Ставецька	д-р с.-г. наук, професор, Білоцерківський національний аграрний університет, Україна
Анастасія Зимароєва	канд. біол. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Людмила Чижевська	д-р екон. наук, професор, Державний університет «Житомирська політехніка», Україна
Віталій Данкевич	д-р екон. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Ельчин Алієв	д-р техн. наук., професор, Дніпровський державний аграрно-економічний університет, Україна
Олександр Галатюк	д-р вет. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Валентина Гамаюнова	д-р с.-г. наук, професор, Миколаївський національний аграрний університет, Україна
Леонід Горальський	д-р вет. наук, професор, Житомирський державний університет імені Івана Франка, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Дмитро Дерев'янюк	д-р техн. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Олександр Жуков	д-р біол. наук, професор, Мелітопольський державний педагогічний університет імені Богдана Хмельницького, Україна
Ірина Іванова	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент Таврійський державний агротехнологічний університет ім. Д. Моторного, Україна
Олександр Кочук-Ященко	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Дмитро Кучер	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Федір Марков	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Олена Марковська	д-р с.-г. наук, старший науковий співробітник, Херсонський державний аграрно-економічний університет, Україна
Андрій Михайлов	д-р екон. наук, професор, Сумський національний аграрний університет, Україна
Валентин Москалець	д-р с.-г. наук, доцент, Інститут садівництва НААН, Україна
Віктор Пазич	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Людмила Тарасович	канд. екон. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Тетяна Тимошук	канд. с.-г. наук, доцент, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Наталія Цивенкова	канд. техн. наук, доцент, Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Марина Швець	канд. біол. наук, старший викладач Поліський національний університет, Україна

Тетяна Зінчук	д-р екон. наук, професор, Поліський національний університет, Україна
Геннадій Голуб	д-р техн. наук, професор, Національний університет біоресурсів і природокористування України, Україна
Міжнародні члени редколегії	
Юліус Раманаускас	д-р наук, професор, Клайпедський університет, Литва
Інна Левкович	д-р наук, старший науковий співробітник, Лейбніцький інститут розвитку сільського господарства у країнах з перехідною економікою, Німеччина
Егідіюс Сараускіс	д-р техн. наук, професор, Інститут сільськогосподарської техніки та безпеки університету Вітовта Великого, Литва
Ришард Пукала	канд. екон. наук, Державний Техніко-Економічний Університет ім. кс. Броніслава Маркевича в Ярославі, Польща
Самат Танірбергенов	вчений секретар, Казахський науково-дослідний інститут ґрунтознавства і агрохімії ім. У.У. Успанова, Республіка Казахстан
Саліх Ташпулатов	д-р техн. наук, професор, Ташкентський інститут текстильної та легкої промисловості, Республіка Узбекистан
Шпенд Шахіні	канд. наук у галузі захисту рослин, Сільськогосподарський університет Тирани, Албанія

SCIENTIFIC HORIZONS

Vol. 27, No. 1
2024

SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
Year of establishment: Since March 1998.
Publication frequency: Twelve times a year

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Oleh Skydan

Rector, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Deputy Editor-in-Chief

Ludmila Romantschuk

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

National Members of the Editorial Board

Savelli Kukharets

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Ivan Grabar

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Yaroslav Yarosh

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Tatiana Fedoniuk

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Natalia Soroka

Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine

Ruslana Stavetska

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Anastasiia Zymarioieva

PhD in Biological Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Lyudmyla Chyzhevska

Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Zhytomyr Polytechnic State University, Ukraine

Vitalii Dankevych

Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Elchin Aliiev

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Dnipro State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine

Olexandr Galatiuk

Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Valentina Hamaiunova

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Mykolaiv National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Leonid Goralskiy

Doctor of Veterinary Sciences, Professor, Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Dmytro Derevjanko

Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Olexander Zhukov

Doctor of Biological Sciences, Professor, Bogdan Khmelnytsky Melitopol State Pedagogical University, Ukraine

Iryna Ivanova

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Dmytro Motornyi Tavria State Agrotechnological University, Ukraine

Oleksandr Kochuk-Yashchenko

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Dmytro Kucher

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Fedir Markov

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Olena Markovska

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, Kherson State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine

Andrii Mykhailov

Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Sumy National Agrarian University, Ukraine

Valentyn Moskalets

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Institute of Horticulture of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine

Viktor Pazych

PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Ludmyla Tarasovykh

PhD in Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine

Tetiana Tymoshchuk	PhD in Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Natalia Tsyvenkova	PhD in Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Marina Shvets	PhD in Biological Sciences, Senior Teacher, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Tetyana Zinchuk	Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Polissia National University, Ukraine
Gennadii Golub	Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine
International members of the Editorial Board	
Julius Ramanauskas	Dr. Habil., Professor, Klaipeda University, Lithuania
Inna Levkovich	Dr. Habil., Senior Research Scientist, Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO), Germany
Egidijus Sarauskis	Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Safety of Vytautas Magnus university (VMU), Lithuania
Ryszard Pukala	PhD in Economic Sciences, The Bronisław Markiewicz State University of Technology and Economics in Jarosław, Poland
Samat Tanirbergenov	Scientific Secretary, U.U. Uspanov Kazakh Research Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry, Republic of Kazakhstan
Salikh Tashpulatov	Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Tashkent Institute of Textile and Light Industry, Republic of Uzbekistan
Shpend Shahini	PhD in Plant Protection, Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania

ЗМІСТ

Л. Г. Роман, В. А. Чорний, Н. І. Данкевіч, А. П. Кітаєва, О. О. Безалтична Динаміка гіпотрофічних змін морфологічних утворень яєчників телиць парувального віку на 7-9 день лютеогенезу.....	9
С. Н. Ішенбаєва, А. Ш. Іргашев, Л. Ю. Лихіна, Р. К. Карабаєва, А. І. Газізова Гістоморфологічні зміни при атеромі у собак (морфологічне дослідження на прикладі 12 клінічних випадків).....	20
О. І. Шкромада, Т. І. Фотіна, Г. А. Фотіна, Т. В. Сергійчик, Т. М. Калюжна Ефективність застосування пробіотиків при вирощуванні курчат-бройлерів.....	32
К. Ж. Кушалієв, А. С. Шамшидін, А. Р. Кожаєва, М. А. Альджаппар, Дж. М. Тлеуленов Створення розсадників та ветеринарно-профілактичні заходи для сайгаків бетпакдалінської та уральської популяції в Казахстані.....	41
В. Д. Паламарчук, В. Ю. Кричковський, М. В. Скакун Дослідження ефективності вирощування кукурудзи на силос для переробки на біогаз та дигестат.....	54
С. А. Вдовенко, І. І. Паламарчук, О. В. Мазур, О. В. Мазур, О. І. Мулярчук Органічне вирощування моркви столової в правобережному Лісостепу України.....	62
О. П. Ткачук, Г. В. Гуцол, О. В. Мазур, В. Вергеліс, О. М. Тітаренко Екологічна безпечність насіння соняшнику в умовах інтенсифікації землеробства.....	71
В. І. Горщар, М. М. Назаренко Спадкова мінливість у пшениці озимій при взаємодії генотипа з чинниками високої генетичної активності.....	80
А. О. Рожков, М. О. Кириченко, І. О. Деревянко, І. І. Семененко, В. П. Сєвідов Продуктивність рослин гірчиці сизої залежно від передпосівного внесення мінеральних добрив і позакоренових підживлень.....	94
Р. З. Нургазієв, М. К. Ісакеєв, Ж. Ч. Орозов, А. І. Боронбаєва, А. Т. Мамітова Використання мультиплексних праймерів для виявлення РНК вірусу чуми м'ясоїдних методом ПЛР.....	105
В. І. Піскун, А. П. Золотарьов, М. С. Пономарьова, С. А. Золотарьова, О. Ф. Євсюков Використання побічних продуктів тваринництва для ресурсозберігаючого отримання біогазу за промислового виробництва свинини.....	117
С. Атанасов Автоматизована система дистанційного зондування для моніторингу посівів та управління зрошенням, що базується на зміні кольору листя та кусково-лінійних регресійних моделях для прогнозування вмісту вологи в ґрунті.....	127
Л. В. Баль-Прилипко, Л. М. Березіна, Л. М. Степасюк, О. О. Чередніченко, А. Т. Лялик Розвиток молочного скотарства та підвищення якості продукції.....	140
С. З. Мошенський, Д. О. Грицишен, О. М. Петрук Аграрно-сировинна економіка України і проблеми для економічного зростання.....	152
А. Є. Єгінбаєва, А. Т. Каріпова, К. Петровчикова, Б. К. Ісаєва Формування механізму підвищення конкурентоспроможності цукрової галузі в Республіці Казахстан.....	162
А. С. Гаджієва, У. З. Маммадова, Г. К. Танривердієва, О. А. Коваленко Технологічні інновації у сільському господарстві: вплив на ефективність виробництва.....	172

CONTENTS

L. Roman, V. Chorny, N. Dankevych, A. Kitaeva, O. Bezalychna Dynamics of hypertrophic changes in the morphological formations of the ovaries of heifers of mating age on the 7-9th day of luteogenesis	9
S. Ishenbaeva, A. Irgashev, L. Lykhina, R. Karabaeva, A. Gazizova Histomorphological changes in atheroma of the dog (morphological study on the example of 12 clinical cases)	20
O. Shkromada, T. Fotina, H. Fotina, T. Sergeychik, T. Kaliuzhna Effectiveness of probiotics in growing broiler chicken	32
K. Kushaliyev, A. Shamshidin, A. Kozhayeva, M. Alzhappar, Zh. Tleulenov Creation of nurseries and veterinary preventive measures for saigas of Betpakdala and Ural populations in Kazakhstan	41
V. Palamarchuk, V. Krychkovskiy, M. Skakun Study of the efficiency of growing maize for silage for processing into biogas and digestate	54
S. Vdovenko, I. Palamarchuk, O. Mazur, O. Mazur, O. Mulyarchuk Organic cultivation of carrot in the right-bank Forest-Steppe of Ukraine	62
O. Tkachuk, G. Gucol, O. Mazur, V. Verhelis, O. Titarenko Ecological safety of sunflower seeds in the conditions of agricultural intensification	71
V. Horshchar, M. Nazarenko Heritable variability in winter wheat at the interaction of genotype with factors of high genetic activity	80
A. Rozhkov, M. Kyrychenko, I. Derevianko, I. Semenenko, V. Sevidov Productivity of brown mustard plants depending on pre-sowing application of mineral fertilisers and foliar feeding	94
R. Nurgaziev, M. Isakeev, J. Orozov, A. Boronbaeva, A. Mamytova Multiplex primers employment for detection of rinderpest virus RNA by PCR	105
V. Piskun, A. Zolotarov, M. Ponomarova, S. Zolotarova, O. Yevsiukov Utilisation of livestock by-products for resource-saving biogas production in industrial pork production	117
S. Atanasov Automated remote sensing system for crops monitoring and irrigation management, based on leaf color change and piecewise linear regression models for soil moisture content predicting	127
L. Bal-Prylypko, L. Berezina, L. Stepasyuk, O. Cherednichenko, A. Lialyk Developing dairy farming and improving product quality	140
S. Moshenskyi, D. Grytsyshen, O. Petruk Agricultural and resource economy of Ukraine and problems for economic growth	152
A. Yeginbayeva, A. Karipova, K. Petrovcikova, B. Issayeva The formation of a mechanism to improve the competitiveness of the sugar industry in the Republic of Kazakhstan	162
A. Hajiyeva, U. Mammadova, G. Tanriverdiyeva, O. Kovalenko Technological innovations in agriculture: Impact on production efficiency	172



UDC 633.15

DOI: 10.48077/scihor1.2024.54

Study of the efficiency of growing maize for silage for processing into biogas and digestate

Vitalii Palamarchuk*

Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor

Vinnitsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnitsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4906-3761>

Vadym Krychkovskiy

Director "Organic-D" LLC, Senior Lecturer
Vinnitsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnitsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4415-0708>

Mykhailo Skakun

Postgraduate Student
Vinnitsia National Agrarian University
21008, 3 Sonyachna Str., Vinnitsia, Ukraine
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7947-9493>

Article's History:

Received: 17.08.2023

Revised: 14.12.2023

Accepted: 27.12.2023

Abstract. Given the growing area of maize cultivation in Ukraine and globally, research into the possibilities of using silage as a component of biogas plants to produce biogas as an alternative fuel and digestate as a bio-organic fertiliser is of high relevance and production necessity. The purpose of this study was to investigate the chemical composition of green mass of maize and its changes depending on the growing season for its use in biogas production. Field, laboratory, laboratory-field, and statistical research methods were used in the study. The findings of this study showed that the quality of maize silage depends on soil and climatic conditions, elements of growing technology, genetic characteristics of a particular hybrid, its maturity group, harvesting time, etc. The genetic characteristics of the hybrid had the greatest influence on the dry matter content, specifically, the mid-early hybrid Amaros stood out in terms of dry matter content on 10-14 August 2020 (26.61%). The highest crude fibre content was observed in the hybrid P9170 – 6.32% and 26.86%, respectively, in natural and absolutely dry matter. This hybrid belongs to the mid-season ripeness group. The shift in the harvesting time of the green mass of the maize hybrids under study also affected the characteristics of the chemical composition and the carbohydrate-lignin complex of the green mass of the maize hybrids under study. The yield of green mass of maize

Suggested Citation:

Palamarchuk, V., Krychkovskiy, V., & Skakun, M. (2024). Study of the efficiency of growing maize for silage for processing into biogas and digestate. *Scientific Horizons*, 27(1), 54-61. doi: 10.48077/scihor1.2024.54.



Copyright © The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

*Corresponding author

hybrids substantially depended on the maturity group of maize hybrids and was highest in the mid-season maize hybrids Burito – 78.1 t/ha and P9170 – 73.1 t/ha, while in the group of mid-early hybrids it was 55.3 t/ha and 68.9 t/ha in Amaros and P9071. Hybrids with a long growing season have higher green mass yields and dry matter yields per unit area. The findings can be used by farms that grow silage maize and have the opportunity to use the vegetative mass as a component of biogas plants for biogas production and digestate production

Keywords: bioorganic fertilisers; silage maize; dry matter; starch; lignin; structural carbohydrates; crude protein

INTRODUCTION

Maize is one of the most productive cereals and uses many resources, including soil, moisture, light, nutrients, etc., to generate its productivity. In the context of energy shortages, global warming and military aggression, the issue of using biomass from crops, including maize, to produce alternative fuels (biogas and bioethanol) has become quite acute. Research on the cultivation and use of silage maize as a substrate for a biogas plant is very effective, as silage maize can increase the efficiency of biogas production, and the crop itself forms a powerful vegetative mass, which is quite favourable for anaerobic digestion in biogas plants by its chemical composition.

Maize cultivation has two main focuses: grain and silage. The area under grain maize cultivation has been steadily increasing, reaching 5.5 million hectares in 2021, and in 2022 it decreased by 17% to 4.267 million hectares, due to a range of factors, including Russia's military aggression against Ukraine, problems with fuel and lubricants (especially for drying grain), logistics, etc. In 2021, silage maize occupied only 214 thousand hectares, although it is effectively used as a substrate for a biogas plant (Statistical Yearbook, 2021). M. Doyeni *et al.* (2021) point out that in European countries, growing silage maize for biogas plants is a widespread practice and has proven to be effective. Ukraine, which has significant agricultural resources, also has the potential to successfully develop a biogas sector. The cultivation of silage maize for biogas production has been supported in developed European countries (Germany, the UK, Poland, Switzerland, Denmark, the Netherlands, France, etc.) due to favourable legislative policies (IEA, 2019).

V. Smutný *et al.* (2018), S. Theuerl *et al.* (2019) note that the use of green mass of maize for biogas fermentation in biogas production is based on an anaerobic fermentation process under the influence of microorganisms. The use of green mass of maize has the following advantages over other crops: high yields, high organic matter content, high starch content, and the well-established technology of growing silage maize. N. Boltianska and O. Boltianskyi (2019) point out that as a result of green mass fermentation, apart from biogas, digestate is obtained, which is a very valuable bioorganic fertiliser for increasing soil fertility, replenishing macro- and microelements and carbon accumulation in the soil (carbonisation), increasing crop yields

and quality. According to S. Corden *et al.* (2019), up to 180 million tonnes of digestate are produced annually in the EU countries, a considerable share of which is digestate from maize silage. J. Urrea *et al.* (2019) and B. Karimi *et al.* (2022) note that loading 100 thousand tonnes of silage into a biogas plant produces the same amount of bio-organic fertiliser (digestate), which contains calcium, phosphorus, and nitrogen in liquid and solid fractions.

V. Popović *et al.* (2020) note that the use of digestate will reduce the need for mineral fertilisers, which are industrially produced with significant use of fossil fuels and increased CO₂ emissions. S. Kudria *et al.* (2022) note that for Ukraine, as an agricultural country in the world, the prospects for using green mass of maize for biogas production and digestate production are determined by the availability of substantial acreage of this crop, a large assortment of hybrid composition of various maturity groups, favourable soil and climatic conditions and chemical composition of the green mass. V. Palamarchuk *et al.* (2023) notes that the efficiency of the biological process of anaerobic digestion and the yield of biogas and digestate from maize silage depends on the chemical composition of green mass and the amount of organic matter. Furthermore, the chemical composition of organic matter is influenced by climatic conditions, growing area, hybrid composition, growing and silage technology, and the duration of the growing season.

A review of the literature showed that the chosen subject is understudied. That is why the purpose of this study was to investigate the efficiency of using green mass of maize as a substrate for biogas plants, depending on the dynamics of changes in the chemical composition during certain periods of vegetation of hybrids of various maturity groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research on the quality of silage maize and elements of its cultivation technology has been conducted since 2020 (as of 18.10.2023, research is ongoing) at "Organic-D" LLC in Sutysky village, Vinnytsia region, Ukraine. The findings presented in this paper were collected in 2020. The researchers used maize hybrids of two maturity groups: mid-early Amaros (FAO 230) and P9071 (FAO 280) and mid-season P9170 (FAO 320) and Burito (FAO 390).

The soil of the experimental plot is grey forest medium loamy by mechanical composition, with a humus content determined according to the Tyurin method (DSTU 7828:2015, 2016) of 1.5%; hydrolytic acidity – 1.3 mg/eq. per 100 g of soil; the amount of absorbed bases – 28 mg/eq. per 100 g of soil; nitrogen content was determined according to the Cornfield method (DSTU 7863:2015, 2016) – 9.6 mg per 100 g of soil, mobile phosphorus – 8.5 mg and exchangeable potassium – 11.4 mg per 100 g of soil determined according to the Chirikov method (DSTU 4115:2002, 2003). The technology is generally accepted for this soil and climate zone. The predecessor was winter wheat, sown in the third decade of April using a wide-row method with a John Deere precision seeder (United States of America), with a row spacing of 70 cm and a plant density of 75 thous. pcs./ha. The maize hybrids were sown in the third decade of April at a soil temperature of 10-12°C at the depth of seed placement. The main tillage system included discing and ploughing at a depth of 22-25 cm. The fertilisation system involved the application of 60 t/ha of Effluent bio-organic fertiliser, produced through anaerobic digestion of pig manure in a biogas plant.

Replication of the experiment was fourfold; variants were placed in the experiment in randomised blocks. The size of the variant plot is 25 m², and the accounting plot is 10.5 m², i.e., four adjacent rows of 5 m in length. Harvesting and accounting of maize silage

yield was carried out in the phase of milky wax and wax ripeness of grain manually from each experimental plot by cutting 10 typical plants from two adjacent rows of each variant (Ushkarenko *et al.*, 2014). The quality and chemical composition of the green (silage) mass of maize hybrids was determined in the laboratory of the Institute of Feed and Agriculture of Podillia, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, accredited to perform measurements in the field of quality control and safety of feed, raw materials, and food products in the “UkrAgroStandart” system.

Experimental studies of plants (both cultivated and wild), including the collection of plant material, were following the institutional, national, or international guidelines. The authors adhered to the standards of the Convention on Biological Diversity (1992) and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (1979).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study found a link between the quality of maize silage and soil and climatic conditions, elements of cultivation technology, genetic characteristics of a particular hybrid, its maturity group, harvesting time, etc. Thus, for the harvesting of green mass on 10-14 August 2020 in the phase of milky wax ripeness, the chemical composition, carbohydrate-lignin complex in natural and absolutely dry matter are presented in Tables 1-3.

Table 1. Chemical composition of green mass of maize hybrids, %

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Dry matter	Crude protein		Crude fibre	
		in a natural substance	in an absolutely dry substance	in a natural substance	in an absolutely dry substance
Amaros (FAO 230)	26.61	1.75	6.58	6.52	24.51
P9071 (FAO 280)	22.21	1.65	7.43	5.50	24.77
P9170 (FAO 320)	23.52	2.01	8.55	6.32	26.86
Burrito (FAO 390)	22.87	1.88	8.22	6.56	28.68

Source: compiled by the authors

Table 1 shows that the dry matter content in the green mass of maize as of 10–14 August was as follows in the group of medium early hybrids: Amaros (FAO 230) – 26.61%, P9071 (FAO 280) – 22.21%, and in the group of mid-season hybrids: P9170 (FAO 320) – 23.52% and Burrito (FAO 390) – 22.87%. Proceeding from the data on dry matter content (Table 1), the genetic characteristics of the hybrid had the greatest influence on this indicator, specifically, the mid-early hybrid Amaros (26.61%) stood out in terms of dry matter content on 10-14 August 2020 compared to other hybrids under study.

The content of crude protein in natural and absolutely dry matter was the highest in the hybrids of the mid-season group P9170 – 2.01% and 8.55% and Burrito – 1.88% and 8.22%, respectively, while in the group of mid-early hybrids it was for Amaros – 1.75%

and 6.58%, and for the P9071 hybrid – 1.65% and 7.43%, respectively. The biogas yield and the quality of the silage feed value are substantially influenced by the crude fibre content, which was also found in natural and dry matter. The highest crude fibre content was observed in the hybrid P9170 – 6.32% and 26.86%, respectively, in natural and absolutely dry matter. This hybrid belongs to the mid-season ripeness group. The crude fibre content of other hybrids was as follows: Amaros (FAO 230) – 6.52% and 24.51%, P9071 (FAO 280) – 5.50% and 24.77%, and Burrito (FAO 390) – 6.56% and 28.68%, respectively. Therewith, the findings of the study revealed a difference in the carbohydrate-lignin complex of the green mass of the studied maize hybrids of different maturity groups depending on the genetic characteristics of the hybrid itself (Table 2).

Table 2. Carbohydrate-lignin complex of green mass of maize hybrids, % in natural matter

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Starch	Sugar	Sum of easily soluble carbohydrates	Hemicellulose	Cellulose	Lignin	Sum of structural carbohydrates
Amaros (FAO 230)	0.63	5.04	12.69	6.66	6.33	1.35	14.34
P9071 (FAO 280)	0.44	3.39	9.40	5.29	4.47	1.09	10.85
P9170 (FAO 320)	0.37	2.15	9.71	6.83	6.61	1.25	14.69
Burrito (FAO 390)	0.18	6.91	13.44	6.03	5.31	1.60	12.94

Source: compiled by the authors

The content of readily soluble carbohydrates and sugars ensures high quality silage. Table 2 shows that the highest starch content as of 10-14 August 2020 was observed in the Amaros hybrid – 0.63%, while in other hybrids under study it was: for P9071 – 0.44%, for P9170 – 0.37%, and for Burito – 0.18%; sugar content was as follows: Burito – 6.91%, Amaros – 5.04%, P9071 – 3.39% and P9170 – 2.15%. The amount of easily soluble carbohydrates was the highest in the mid-season hybrid Burito – 13.44% and the mid-early hybrid Amaros – 12.69%, while in other hybrids it was 9.40% and 9.71% in P9071 and P9170, respectively.

Hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin have rather poor solubility, and their high content in plant biomass can reduce biogas yields. The content of these substances in the

hybrids under study ranged within 5.29-6.83% for hemicellulose, 4.47-6.61% for cellulose, and 1.09-1.60% for lignin. The highest content of hemicellulose and cellulose was found in hybrids P9170 (FAO 320) – 6.83% and 6.61% and Amaros (FAO 230) – 6.66% and 6.33%, respectively. The lignin content was highest in the mid-season maize hybrid Burrito at 1.60% and the mid-early hybrid Amaros at 1.35%. The amount of structural carbohydrates was the highest in hybrids P9170 (FAO 320) – 14.69% and Amaros (FAO 230) – 14.34%, while in the Burito hybrid (FAO 390) it was 12.94%, and in the P9071 hybrid (FAO 280) it was the lowest and amounted to 10.85%. An analogous analysis can be carried out for the carbohydrate-lignin complex of the green mass of maize hybrids in terms of absolutely dry matter (Table 3).

Table 3. Carbohydrate-lignin complex of green mass of maize hybrids, % in absolutely dry matter

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Starch	Sugar	Sum of easily soluble carbohydrates	Hemicellulose	Cellulose	Lignin	Sum of structural carbohydrates
Amaros (FAO 230)	2.38	18.95	47.68	25.04	23.78	5.08	53.90
P9071 (FAO 280)	1.98	15.26	42.31	23.81	20.12	4.89	48.82
P9170 (FAO 320)	1.58	9.16	41.31	29.04	28.09	5.32	62.45
Burrito (FAO 390)	0.79	30.21	58.77	26.38	23.22	7.00	56.60

Source: compiled by the authors

Table 3 shows that the patterns of accumulation of chemicals in terms of absolutely dry matter are analogous to those in natural matter. Thus, the highest starch content was in Amaros (FAO 230) – 2.38% and P9071 (FAO 280) – 1.98%, while in other hybrids it was P9170 (FAO 320) – 1.58% and Burito (FAO 390) – 0.79%. The highest sugar content was found in the Burito hybrid (FAO 390) – 30.21%, while in other hybrids it was Amaros (FAO 230) – 18.95%, P9071 (FAO 280) – 15.26% and P9170 (FAO 320) – 9.16%. The amount of easily soluble carbohydrates in the hybrids under study ranged within 41.31-58.77%, with the highest value in the mid-season maize hybrid Burito – 58.77% and the lowest value in the mid-season hybrid P9170 – 41.31%, while among the

mid-early hybrids this indicator in Amaros was 47.68% and in P9071 – 42.31%. The amount of structural carbohydrates (hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin) was the highest in mid-season maize hybrids P9170 – 62.45% and Burito – 56.60%. In the group of mid-early hybrids, this figure was 53.90% for Amaros and 48.82% for P9071.

The shift in the harvesting time of the green mass of the maize hybrids under study also affected the chemical composition and carbohydrate-lignin complex. Thus, when harvesting green mass on 20-24 September at the beginning of the waxy ripeness phase, the chemical composition of green mass and the carbohydrate-lignin complex in absolutely dry matter are presented in Tables 4-5.

Table 4. Chemical composition of green mass of maize hybrids, % in absolutely dry matter

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Crude protein	Crude fibre
Amaros (FAO 230)	6.45	19.63
P9071 (FAO 280)	7.22	14.72
P9170 (FAO 320)	7.19	15.67

Table 4. Continued

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Crude protein	Crude fibre
Burrito (FAO 390)	9.49	14.04

Source: compiled by the authors

Table 4 shows that when harvesting green mass on 20-24 September 2020, the mid-season maize hybrid Burito had the highest crude protein content of 9.49%. Whereas in the other hybrids under study, it was 7.22% for P9071 (FAO 280), 7.19% for P9170 (FAO 320), and 6.45% for Amaros (FAO 230). The crude fibre

content was highest in maize hybrids Amaros (FAO 230) – 19.63% and P9170 (FAO 320) – 15.67%. The characteristics of the carbohydrate-lignin complex of the green mass of maize hybrids harvested on 20-24 September in absolutely dry matter are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Carbohydrate-lignin complex of green mass of maize hybrids, % in absolutely dry matter

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Starch	Sugar	Sum of easily soluble carbohydrates	Hemicellulose	Cellulose	Lignin	Sum of structural carbohydrates
Amaros (FAO 230)	27.76	5.05	48.94	15.47	14.14	3.53	33.14
P9071 (FAO 280)	39.70	4.49	57.19	13.02	13.02	3.63	29.67
P9170 (FAO 320)	38.10	4.09	54.97	12.78	10.44	3.56	26.78
Burrito (FAO 390)	33.25	4.81	59.29	16.23	15.48	3.88	35.59

Source: compiled by the authors

During this period, the starch content increased by 25.38-27.72% compared to the content on 10-14 August 2020, and amounted to 39.70% for P9071 hybrid (FAO 280), 38.10% for P9170 hybrid (FAO 320), 33.25% for Burito hybrid (FAO 390) and 27.76% for Amaros hybrid (FAO 230). The sugar content in the green mass decreased by 5.07-25.40% compared to the period of 10-14 August and amounted to Amaros (FAO 230) – 5.05%, Burito (FAO 390) – 4.81%, P9071 (FAO 280) – 4.49%, and P9170 (FAO 320) – 4.09%. Therewith, the amount of easily soluble carbohydrates was the highest in the mid-season

maize hybrid Burito – 59.29% and the mid-early hybrid P9071 – 57.19%. The sum of structural carbohydrates (hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin) was the highest in the mid-season maize hybrid Burito – 35.59% and the mid-early hybrid Amaros – 33.14%. To determine the biogas yield, it is essential not only to know the chemical composition of the green mass of maize hybrids and the dry matter content, but also the yield of the dry mass of maize per unit area. The characteristics of the green mass yield of the maize hybrids under study as of 14 August 2020 are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Green mass yield of maize hybrids of different ripeness groups (for 2020)

Sample name (green mass of maize)	Dry matter, %	Yield, t/ha	Dry matter yield per 1 ha, t
Amaros (FAO 230)	26.61	55.3	14.72
P9071 (FAO 280)	22.21	68.9	15.30
P9170 (FAO 320)	23.52	73.1	17.19
Burrito (FAO 390)	22.87	78.1	17.86
LSD ₀₅ , t/ha		4.82	1.30

Source: compiled by the authors

Table 6 shows that the yield of green mass of the maize hybrids under study significantly depended on the maturity group of maize hybrids and was highest in the mid-season maize hybrids Burito – 78.1 t/ha and P9170 – 73.1 t/ha, while in the group of mid-early hybrids it was Amaros – 55.3 t/ha and P9071 – 68.9 t/ha. A comparable trend can be observed for the dry matter yield per unit area. The highest yields were recorded for mid-season maize hybrids Burito – 17.86 t/ha and P9170 – 17.19 t/ha. Thus, lengthening the growing season in the maize hybrids under study not only increases the yield of green mass but also increases the dry matter yield per unit area. The findings are confirmed by the

studies of other scientists who have worked in this area and investigated the chemical composition of maize silage and the specifics of using silage and green mass for anaerobic digestion in biogas plants to increase the efficiency of biogas and digestate production.

R. Skliar (2020) notes that the addition of lignin-containing organic plant material to the biogas reactor helps to reduce nitrogen losses during the fermentation process. S. Shvorov and Ye. Antypov (2019) argue that silage as a technological operation improves biogas production and methane yield from maize plant material compared to the use of green mass without silage. O. Skliar and R. Skliar (2020) indicate that the high

content of organic matter, cellulose, starch and lignin in maize silage allows for, after fermentation and obtaining digestate to be applied to the soil, the increase of the humus content and accumulate carbon in the soil and ensure the cultivation of environmentally friendly products. According to H. Heletukha *et al.* (2022), the use of special energy maize hybrids with a dry weight yield of 18-25 t/ha as a substrate for biogas production will yield 5,300-9,000 m³/ha of methane, depending on the hybrid, growing conditions, and the harvesting phase of maize.

A. Ehmann *et al.* (2018) point out that the quality and structure of organic matter in biogas digestates depends on the feedstock, its chemical composition and the technology used. M. Grabovsky (2019) notes that due to the higher dry matter content, the specific biogas yield per unit of silage mass applied to maize was 33.7-50.6% higher than that of sugar sorghum and 9.2-13.0% higher than that of a mixture of these crops. With a dry matter content of 30-42%, the average methane yield was 0.40 m³/kg of substrate. M. Szymanska *et al.* (2018) point out the difficulty of using plant biomass from crops other than maize as a substrate for biogas plants due to unfavourable physical and mechanical (dry matter content, moisture content, organic dry matter content) and chemical composition (protein, carbohydrate, and fat content). For instance, the use of plant biomass, which contains a branched capillary structure covered with hydrophobic components (waxes, lignin), contributes to the formation of dense floating layers in the bioreactor.

M. Grabovsky (2020) found that an increase in the maturity group of maize hybrids contributes to a decrease in the specific methane yield. The optimum specific methane yield was observed at a dry matter content of 30-35%. If the dry matter content is less than 20%, the silage properties deteriorate, a significant amount of filtrate is produced and the potential for biogas production and yield is substantially reduced. It was found that the highest yield of green mass (73.1 t/ha and 78.1 t/ha) was formed by hybrids of the mid-season ripeness group P9170 (FAO 320) and Burito (FAO 390) with a dry matter yield of 17.19 t/ha and 17.86 t/ha, which is characterised by a favourable carbohydrate-lignin complex, crude protein and fibre content, and therefore the use of green mass of these hybrids as a substrate for biogas plants to increase biogas yield will be most appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS

The chemical composition and carbohydrate-lignin complex of the green mass of the maize hybrids under study can vary depending on the phenological phase of plant growth and development. The highest content

of dry matter was recorded in the mid-early Amaros hybrid – 26.61%, crude protein in natural and absolute dry matter – in the hybrids of the mid-season group P9170 – 2.01% and 8.55%, and Burito – 1.88% and 8.22%, respectively. The starch content in Amaros was 0.63%, in P9071 – 0.44%, P9170 – 0.37% and in Burrito – 0.18%, while the sugar content in Burrito was 6.91%, in Amaros – 5.04%, in P9071 – 3.39% and in P9170 – 2.15%. Hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin have rather poor solubility, and their high content in plant biomass can reduce biogas yield, the highest content of hemicellulose and cellulose was found in hybrids P9170 (FAO 320) – 6.83% and 6.61% and Amaros (FAO 230) – 6.66% and 6.33%, respectively. The lignin content was highest in the mid-season maize hybrid Burrito at 1.60% and the mid-early hybrid Amaros at 1.35%.

Shifting the harvesting dates of the green mass of the maize hybrids under study to 20-24.09.2020 also affected the characteristics of the chemical composition and carbohydrate-lignin complex. Therewith, the starch content increased by 25.38-27.72% during this period, while the sugar content in the green mass decreased by 5.07-25.40% compared to the content on 10-14 August. The amount of structural carbohydrates (hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin) in the period 20-24.09.2020 was the highest in the mid-season maize hybrid Burito – 35.59% and the mid-early hybrid Amaros – 33.14%.

The yield of green mass and dry matter yield of the maize hybrids under study significantly depended on the maturity group of maize hybrids and was the highest in the mid-season maize hybrids Burito – 78.1 t/ha and 17.86 t/ha and P9170 – 73.1 t/ha and 17.19 t/ha, while in the group of medium-early hybrids it was Amaros – 55.3 t/ha and 14.72 t/ha and P9071 – 68.9 t/ha and 15.30 t/ha, respectively. Therefore, the most suitable for biogas production as a substrate for biogas plants are silage maize hybrids of the medium-ripening maturity group P9170 (FAO 320) and Burito (FAO 390), which provide the highest yield and organic matter yield per unit area and have a favourable chemical composition and carbohydrate-lignin complex of green mass. In the future, it is planned to confirm the obtained patterns by long-term research and investigate the efficiency of using maize silage with different chemical composition in the biogas reactor of "Organic-D" LLC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this study declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boltianska, N.I., & Boltianskyi, O.V. (2019). [Formation of a model of the mechanism of application of resource saving technologies on dairy farms](#). *Modern Problems and Technologies of the Agrarian Sector of Ukraine: Collection of Scientific Papers*, 12, 26-32.

- [2] Convention on Biological Diversity. (1992, June). Retrieved from https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_030#Text.
- [3] Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. (1979, June). Retrieved from https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_129#Text.
- [4] Corden, C., Bougas, K., Cunningham, E., Tyrer, D., Kreißig, J., & Crookes, M. (2019). *Digestate and Compost as Fertilisers: Risk Assessment and Risk Management Options*. Retrieved from <https://etendering.ted.europa.eu/document/document-file-download.html?docFileId=65687>.
- [5] Doyeni, M.O., Stulpinaite, U., Baksinskaite, A., Suproniene, S., & Tilvikiene, V. (2021). Greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural cultivated soils using animal waste-based digestates for crop fertilization. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 159(1-2), 23-30. doi: 10.1017/S0021859621000319.
- [6] DSTU 4115:2002. (2003). *Soils. Determination of mobile phosphorus and potassium compounds by the modified Chirikov method*. Retrieved from https://online.budstandart.com/ua/catalog/doc-page?id_doc=58863.
- [7] DSTU 7828:2015. (2016). *Soil quality. Determination of the group and fractional composition of humus by the Turin method as modified by Ponomaryova and Plotnikova*. Retrieved from https://online.budstandart.com/ua/catalog/doc-page.html?id_doc=62383.
- [8] DSTU 7863:2015. (2016). *Soil quality. Determination of easily hydrolyzable nitrogen by the Cornfield method*. Retrieved from https://online.budstandart.com/ua/catalog/doc-page.html?id_doc=62745.
- [9] Ehmann, A., Thumm, U., & Lewandowski, I. (2018). Fertilizing potential of separated biogas digestates in annual and perennial biomass production systems. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 2, article number 12. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2018.00012.
- [10] Grabovsky, M.B. (2019). *Potential of biogas production from silage mass of sugar sorghum and corn*. *Tavrian Scientific Bulletin*, 106, 26-32.
- [11] Grabovsky, M.B. (2020). *Corn for biogas production*. Retrieved from <https://agro-business.com.ua/agro/ahronomiia-sohodni/item/18103-kukurudza-dlia-vyrobnystva-biohazu.html>.
- [12] Heletukha, H.H., Kucheruk, P.P., & Matvieiev, Yu.B. (2022). *Prospects for biomethane production in Ukraine*. Kyiv: Analytical note.
- [13] IEA. (2019). Retrieved from <https://iea.gov.ua/naukovo-analitichna-diyalnist/analitika/rezultati-monitoringovih-doslidzen/2019-2/>.
- [14] Karimi, B., SadetBourgeteau, S., Cannavacciuolo, M., Chauvin, C., Flamin, C., Haumont, A., JeanBaptiste, V., Reibel, A., Vrignaud, G., & Ranjard L. (2022). Impact of biogas digestates on soil microbiota in agriculture: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 20, 3265-3288. doi: 10.1007/s10311-022-01451-8.
- [15] Kudria, S., et al. (2020). *Renewable energy sources*. Kyiv: Institute of Renewable Energy of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.
- [16] Palamarchuk, V.D., Krychkovskyi, V.Y., Rudska, N.O., & Kolisnyk, O.M. (2023). *The latest technologies for growing vegetables and corn using digestate from biogas plants*. Vinnytsia: Printing house "Druk".
- [17] Popović, V., Vučković, S., Jovović, Z., Ljubičić, N., Kostić, M., Rakašćan, N., & Ikanović, J. (2020). Genotype by year interaction effects on soybean morpho-productive traits and biogas production. *Genetika*, 52(3), 1055-1073. doi: 10.2298/GENSR2003055P.
- [18] Shvorov, S.A., & Antypov, Ye.O. (2019). *Measures to intensify the processes of anaerobic digestion in operating reactors of biogas plants in a temperate continental climate*. In *Proceedings of the international scientific and practical conference "Science and Education in the Intellectual and Innovative Development of Society", dedicated to the 60th anniversary of the educational institution of the Berezhan Agrotechnical Institute of Ukraine* (pp. 259-260). Berezhan: Berezhan Agrotechnical College.
- [19] Skliar, O.H., & Skliar, R.V. (2020). *Biogas plants as an environmentally friendly means of waste processing*. In *Proceedings of the IV international scientific and practical conference "Bioenergy Systems"* (pp. 132-135). Zhytomyr: Polissia National University.
- [20] Skliar, R.V. (2020). *Features of anaerobic fermentation of different types of livestock waste*. In *Proceedings of the IV international scientific and practical conference "Bioenergy Systems"* (pp. 120-123). Zhytomyr: Polissia National University.
- [21] Smutný, V., Neudert, L., Dryšlov, T., & Lukas, V., Handlířová, M., Vrtílek, P., & Vach, M. (2018). *Current arable farming systems in the Czech Republic-agronomic measures adapted to soil protection and climate change*. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus*, 83(1), 11-16.
- [22] Statistical Yearbook. (2021). Retrieved from https://ukrstat.gov.ua/druk/publicat/kat_u/2022/zb/11/Yearbook_21_e.pdf.
- [23] Szymanska, M., Szara, E., Sosulski, T., Stepień, W., Pilarski, K., & Pilarska, A.A. (2018). *Chemical properties and fertilizer value of ten different anaerobic digestates*. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 27(5A), 3425-3432.

- [24] Theuerl, S., Herrmann, C., Heiermann, M., Grundmann, P., Landwehr, N., Kreidenweis, U., & Prochnow, A. (2019). The future agricultural biogas plant in Germany: A vision. *Energies*, 12(3), article number 396. doi: [10.3390/en12030396](https://doi.org/10.3390/en12030396).
- [25] Urra, J., Alkorta, I., & Garbisu, C. (2019). Potential benefits and risks for soil health derived from the use of organic amendments in agriculture. *Agronomy*, 9(9), article number 542. doi: [10.3390/agronomy9090542](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9090542).
- [26] Ushkarenko, V.O., Vozhegova, R.A., Goloborodko, S.P., & Kokovikhin, S.V. (2014). *Methods of field experiment (Irrigated agriculture): Study guide*. Kherson: Green D.S.

Дослідження ефективності вирощування кукурудзи на силос для переробки на біогаз та дигестат

Віталій Дмитрович Паламарчук

Доктор сільськогосподарських наук, доцент
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4906-3761>

Вадим Юрійович Кричковський

Директор ТОВ «Органік-Д», старший викладач
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4415-0708>

Михайло Васильович Скакун

Аспірант
Вінницький національний аграрний університет
21008, вул. Сонячна, 3, м. Вінниця, Україна
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7947-9493>

Анотація. Дослідження, в умовах зростання площ вирощування кукурудзи в Україні та світі, можливості використання силосної маси як компонента біогазових станцій для отримання альтернативного виду палива – біогазу та біоорганічного добрива – дигестату мають високу актуальність та виробничу необхідність. Метою роботи було вивчення хімічного складу зеленої маси кукурудзи та зміни його залежно від періоду вегетації для використання її на біогаз. В роботі використовували польові, лабораторні, лабораторно-польові та статистичні методи досліджень. Результатами проведених досліджень встановлено, що якість силосної маси кукурудзи залежить від ґрунтово-кліматичних умов, елементів технології вирощування, генетичних особливостей конкретного гібриду, його групи стиглості, строків проведення збиральних робіт та ін. Найбільший вплив на вміст сухої речовини здійснювали генетичні особливості гібриду, зокрема за вмістом сухої речовини на 10-14 серпня 2020 року виділився середньоранній гібрид Амарос (26,61 %). Найвищий вміст сирої клітковини відмічений у гібриду П9170 – 6,32 та 26,86 %, відповідно у натуральній та абсолютно сухій речовині. Даний гібрид відноситься до середньостиглої групи стиглості. Зміщення строків збирання зеленої маси досліджуваних гібридів кукурудзи вплинуло і на характеристику хімічного складу та на вуглеводно-лігніновий комплекс зеленої маси досліджуваних гібридів кукурудзи. Урожайність зеленої маси гібридів кукурудзи істотно залежала від групи стиглості гібридів кукурудзи і найвищою вона була у середньостиглих гібридів кукурудзи Буріто – 78,1 т/га та П9170 – 73,1 т/га, тоді як у групі середньоранніх гібридів вона склала Амарос – 55,3 т/га та П9071 – 68,9 т/га. Гібриди із тривалим вегетаційним періодом мають вищу урожайність зеленої маси та вихід сухої речовини із одиниці площі. Отримані результати можуть використовуватись господарствами, які займаються вирощуванням силосної кукурудзи та мають можливість використовувати вегетативну масу в якості компоненту біогазових станцій для виробництва біогазу та отримання дигестату

Ключові слова: біоорганічні добрива; силосна кукурудза; суха речовина; крохмаль; лігнін; структурні вуглеводи; сирий протеїн