

ALEKSANDRAS STULGINSKIS UNIVERSITY  
BUSINESS AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE

**PROGNOSTICATION AND PLANNING  
OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:  
MICROECONOMIC  
AND MACROECONOMIC LEVELS**

**Volume 1**

**Multi-authored monograph  
edited by J. Žukovskis, K. Shaposhnykov**

Kaunas, Lithuania  
2019

UDC 340.34+338.2  
P93

*Recommended for publication  
by the Academic Council of Aleksandras Stulginskis University*

*Recommended for publication  
by the Academic Council of SSI "Institute for the Education Content Modernization"  
of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (Minutes No. 10 on 18.12.2019)*

**Reviewers:**

**Paweł Dziekański** – Doctor of Economic Sciences, Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland.

**Natia Gogolauri** – Professor, Dr. of Economics, Head of Quality Assurance, New Higher Education Institute (NEWUNI), Georgia.

**Editorial Board of the multi-authored monograph:**

**Jan Žukovskis** – Associate Professor, Dr. of Economics, Head of Business and Rural Development Management Institute, Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Kaunas, Lithuania.

**Andrzej Pawlik** – Dr. hab., Professor Head of the Institute for Entrepreneurship and Innovation, State University of Jan Kochanowski, Poland.

**Olga Chwiej** – Associate Professor, Dr. of Economics, freelancer scientist, Poland.

**Kostiantyn Shaposhnykov** – Professor, Dr. of Economics, Head of Black Sea Research Institute of Economy and Innovation, Ukraine.

**Vilma Atkociuniene** – Professor, Dr. of Economics, Business and Rural Development Management Institute, Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Kaunas, Lithuania.

**Martina Diesener** – Professor, Dr. of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management Science, Leipzig University, Germany.

**Hélder Ferreira Vasconcelos** – Professor, Dr. of Economics, NOVA School of Business and Economics, Lisbon, Portugal.

**Xavier Martinez-Giralt** – Professor, Ph.D, Dr of Economy, Dean at Departament d'Economia i d'Història Econòmica at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spaine.

**Yuriy Safonov** – Doctor of Economics, Professor, Deputy Director of the State Scientific Institution "Institute for the Modernization of the Content of Education".

The authors of articles usually express their own opinion, which is not always comply with the editorial Board's opinion. The content of the articles is the responsibility of their authors.

**Prognostication and planning of economic development: microeconomic and macroeconomic levels.** – Multi-authored monograph. – Vol. 1. – Lithuania: Publishing House "Baltija Publishing", 2019. – 420 p.

# CONTENTS

## 1. FORECASTING AND PLANNING OF NATIONAL ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT

<b>Babachenko M. V., Golubkova I. I., Frasyuk T. A.</b> FERRY TRANSPORTATION AS SYSTEM OF DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVEMENT OF UKRAINE'S MARINE TRANSPORT UNDER THE CONDITIONS OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION .....	1
<b>Batchenko L. V., Honchar L. O.</b> CONCEPTUAL APPROACHES TO THE FORMATION OF STATE STRATEGIES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE IN THE SERVICES AND INDUSTRY OF THE HOTEL AND RESTAURANT BUSINESS .....	15
<b>Гаруст Ю. В., Миргород-Карпова В. В.</b> ШЛЯХИ ВДОСКОНАЛЕННЯ ТА ОПТИМІЗАЦІЇ АДМІНІСТРАТИВНО-ПРАВОВОГО РЕГУЛЮВАННЯ СИСТЕМИ ЗДІЙСНЕННЯ КОНТРОЛЮ НАД МІЖНАРОДНИМИ ФІНАНСАМИ В УКРАЇНІ .....	33
<b>Golovnya O. M.</b> MODEL OF ECONOMIC POLICY OF THE STATE IN THE CONCEPT OF SOCIALLY ORIENTED NATIONAL ECONOMY .....	46
<b>Гудзь О. Є., Прокопенко Н. С.</b> СТРАТЕГІЧНЕ ПЛАНУВАННЯ ОРГАНІЗАЦІЙНОГО РОЗВИТКУ ПІДПРИЄМСТВА .....	61
<b>Жук О. І., Томашевська А. В.</b> РОЗВИТОК УКРАЇНСЬКО-ПОЛЬСЬКОЇ ЕКОНОМІЧНОЇ СПІВПРАЦІ .....	76
<b>Zaika S. O., Kuskova S. V., Hridin O. V.</b> THE EVOLUTION AND BASIC CONCEPTS OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN THE AGRARIAN SECTOR OF NATIONAL ECONOMY .....	92
<b>Koliada Yu. V., Kravchenko V. G., Kravchenko T. V.</b> EVOLUTION SCENARIOS OF NONLINEAR ECONOMY BASED ON DYNAMIC MODELS .....	107
<b>Луговенко Н. В.</b> КОРПОРАТИВНЕ УПРАВЛІННЯ ДЕРЖАВНИМИ БАНКАМИ .....	122
<b>Malinoshevska K. I.</b> MARKETING STRATEGIES: FORMATION AND IMPLEMENTATION .....	137
<b>Нікола С. О.</b> ЧИННИКИ РОЗВИТКУ ФІНАНСОВОЇ СИСТЕМИ США У СУЧАСНИХ УМОВАХ .....	150
<b>Перепелица М. А.</b> ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ СИСТЕМНОГО ПОДХОДА ПРИ ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИИ ПУТЕЙ РАЗВИТИЯ ЭКОНОМИКИ УКРАИНЫ .....	166
<b>Рижкова Г. А., Різун Н. О., Павлишин І. Р.</b> ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ ПРОБЛЕМ ЗАЙНЯТОСТІ ВИПУСКНИКІВ НАВЧАЛЬНИХ ЗАКЛАДІВ .....	182
<b>Танащук К. О., Загребнюк В. І.</b> ЕКОНОМЕТРИЧНА МОДЕЛЬ ФОРМУВАННЯ КАДРОВОГО ЗАБЕЗПЕЧЕННЯ НАУКОВО-ТЕХНІЧНОЇ ДІЯЛЬНОСТІ .....	197

**Zapirchenko L. D., Riabovolyk T. F., Tsarenko I. O.**  
SPECIFICS OF FORMING AND ADVANTAGES OF DEVELOPMENT  
OF THE INNOVATIVE PROCESSES IN AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES ..... 216

**Chornopyska N. V., Stasiuk K. Z.**  
RAIL FREIGHT DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS IN UKRAINE ..... 227

**Шедяков В. Е.**  
ПЛАНИРОВАНИЕ В НЕСТАБИЛЬНОЙ ЭКОНОМИКЕ:  
ВОЗМОЖНОСТИ И ГРАНИЦЫ ..... 240

**Yahelska K. Yu., Shakina N. A.**  
CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT OF SCIENTIFIC  
AND TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT OF UKRAINE IN THE CONTEXT  
OF GLOBAL COMPETITION ..... 256

## **2. TERRITORY DEVELOPMENT: STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

**Bilous L. B.**  
SMART CITY CONCEPT AS A COMPONENT OF MEASURES  
AIMED AT IMPROVING ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN THE REGION ..... 274

**Vankovych D. V., Bodakovskiy V. Yu.**  
STRATEGY FOR FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE  
DEVELOPMENT IN UKRAINE ..... 288

**Заблодська І. В., Мельникова О. А., Заблодська Д. В.**  
РОЗВИТОК ЛУГАНЩИНИ В УМОВАХ ВІЙСЬКОВОГО КОНФЛІКТУ:  
АСПЕКТ ПОЗИЦІОНУВАННЯ ..... 302

**Zalytska Kh. Ya., Syzon M.-O. I.**  
METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE FORMATION  
OF THE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY AND POSSIBLE ASSOCIATIONS  
OF THE REGIONAL ECONOMIC STRUCTURES ..... 318

**Свистун Л. А., Завора Т. М., Худолій Ю. С.**  
КОНЦЕПТУАЛЬНІ ЗАСАДИ ЗАБЕЗПЕЧЕННЯ  
СОЦІАЛЬНОЇ БЕЗПЕКИ РЕГІОНУ ..... 338

**Skorokhod I. S., Rebryna N. G., Horbach L. M.**  
INTEGRAL ASSESSMENT FOR INNOVATIVE ECO-SUSTAINABLE  
DEVELOPMENT OF THE REGIONS OF UKRAINE ..... 354

**Старостенко Г. Г., Мірко Н. В.**  
УПРАВЛІННЯ РОЗВИТКОМ ТУРИСТИЧНОЇ СФЕРИ В РЕГІОНАХ ..... 368

## **3. BUSINESS MANAGEMENT: PLANNING AND FORECASTING**

**Антохова І. М., Водянка Л. Д., Сибирка Л. А.**  
ВПЛИВ КОРПОРАТИВНОЇ КУЛЬТУРИ  
НА ЕФЕКТИВНЕ УПРАВЛІННЯ ПЕРСОНАЛОМ ..... 383

**Braslavska O. V., Biloshkurska N. V., Biloshkurskyi M. V.**  
MODERN INNOVATION IN MARKETING OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES ..... 400

**Golovnya O. M.**  
*Doctor of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor,  
Senior Lecturer at the Department of International Management,  
Hotel and Restaurant Business and Tourism  
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University*

## **MODEL OF ECONOMIC POLICY OF THE STATE IN THE CONCEPT OF SOCIALLY ORIENTED NATIONAL ECONOMY**

### ***Summary***

*The mechanism of interaction of market self-regulation and economic policy of the state in the concept of socially oriented national economy is investigated. The main models of economic growth are identified. The author argues that a precondition for state social development policy is a competitive environment. Consequently, the transition to a socially oriented national economy is ensured by the interaction between production and consumption through the market, state regulation and specific public institutions, and is based on a socialized commodity production.*

*Therefore, an effective national model of socially oriented economy should be formed, it should be the result of the implementation of a strategy, where it is necessary to harmonize the target orientations of market transformations, anti-crisis regulation, structural and technological restructuring, improving the level and quality of life of the population, stabilizing the economy and inclusion in the world economic space.*

### **Introduction**

Ukraine's exit from the crisis depends on the mechanisms of economic development, which are conditioned by the action of the economic laws system and laws themselves. Economic development is a process of a social life and its constituent parts, which are conditioned by both the freedom of the human will and the objective laws. Thus, economic development as a process of human life is, on the one hand, the result of the action of objective laws, and, on the other hand, an economic management, based on the conscious use of properly understood laws. However, in the modern world, the economy is not a self-contained and closed system. Economic growth is to create conditions for human development, improve living standards and quality of life. A tool for creating such conditions in our country should be a socially oriented model of economic development. Therefore, state regulation in the economic sphere must proceed from the social condition realities of the majority of the population of the country. Within a socially oriented economy, the market should be seen as a device that maximizes the level of achievement of social goals, not just profit.

The economic development that underpins a socially oriented economy is a process of qualitative change in the economic system, but it is impossible without the economic growth. There are theories inside the economic theory that explain the causes and drivers of economic growth. In Keynesian theories, as in the neoclassical ones, models are used in the analysis of problems of economic growth. The main goal in developing and researching such models is to find out and consistently substantiate the main, most important factors that determine the process of increasing national income, which means achieving the goals of economic growth and improvement of the economic system.

### **Part 1. Mechanism of interaction between market self-regulation and economic policy of the state in the concept of socially oriented national economy**

Over the past two decades, policy-makers have recognized social protection as a core strategy for tackling poverty and vulnerability while strengthening inclusive social development and equitable economic growth. Around the world, increasing numbers of countries have formulated national social protection strategies, which integrate life-cycle programmers into comprehensive systems. This approach draws linkages within the social protection sector and builds bridges to other policy areas both social and economic and reinforces comprehensive impacts by building inter-sectoral synergies.

A social protection system capable of generating synergies requires a policy and legislative strategy, including the budget framework, together with the set of specific programmers and their corresponding implementation systems. A comprehensive strategy weaves the instruments together into a more comprehensive system of policies and programs that not only tackle poverty and vulnerability but also strengthen inclusive social development and equitable economic growth.

The second level represents a committee of relevant line ministries which are either centrally involved in social protection design and implementation or which activities interact substantially with the larger socio-economic agenda. Typical candidates include ministries of education, health and social development, but may also include gender, agriculture, children or others. The appropriate involvement and integration depends on the respective ministerial structures and capacities. The third level is responsible for the delivery of social protection benefits. Consolidation of delivery mechanisms within a single institution promotes cost-effectiveness and value-for-money and minimizes the risk of program fragmentation. The delivery institution customarily responds to the superior line ministries of the individual programs. Coordination under one ministry improves the overall efficiency and strengthens cross-sectoral support. Therefore, it has the capacity to provide comprehensive social protection and complementary services.

The main models of economic growth include: 1) A. Smith's theory of economic growth; 2) the Harrod-Domar model of economic growth; 3) the model of economic growth by S. Kuznets; 4) J. Robinson's growth model; 5) the theory of economic growth by N. Kaldor; 6) Cobb-Douglas economic growth model; 7) R. Solou's model of economic growth; 8) P. Romer's economic growth model; 9) the model of economic development of A. Lewis; 10) the "golden rule" of E. Phelps; 11) institutional theory of economic growth and development; 12) two-sector macromonetary model of economic growth of V. Ryaboshlik, etc.

The socio-economic system, which underlies the socio-economic dynamics of the economic entities, leads to the development of society, as well as to the formation and transformation of the entire economic environment. The internal drivers of this process are laws. Laws act as a collective force, the manifestation of which is indisputable, and the result is unambiguous, so due to them the most accurate prediction (projection) of the state of processes is achieved. Due to the development and complication of the economic system, the system of social relations and relations between the subjects of society, the deepening of the interdependence of their actions, there is a necessary need to integrate these relations into a certain system, the functional content of which is determined by economic and social laws.

An integral part of the process of forming a model of socially oriented national economy is the interaction of the mechanism of market relations and economic policy of the state. It contains different ways, forms, specific technologies regulated by the normative legal documents. Such a mechanism is a set of organizational and economic forms, principles and methods by which the relations of ownership of the means of production and its results are realized. The transition to a socially oriented economy, that is, to a form based on socialized commodity production, is ensured by the interaction between production and consumption through the market, state regulation and specific public institutions. That is, in a mixed two-sector economy, the market regulation of economic processes is complemented by state-social regulation. Meanwhile, the creation of such an economy requires the following prerequisites: theoretical, economic, political and legal, social and ethical and psychological. Thus, economic conditions include: a) the real variety of ownership and economic activities (the presence of real owners); b) the modern efficient structure of the economy and a sufficient number of modern production facilities; c) dismantling of monopoly structures in the economy and optimal interaction between large, medium and small enterprises, their real independence; d) a strong economic center; e) commodity-monetary and budgetary equilibrium, economic deficit, availability of stocks, based on real achievements in production, and not on administrative and fiscal methods; e) highly developed market infrastructure: commercial banks, exchanges, fairs, trade and warehouse network, inventory management, advertising service, tax and financial inspection, information and transport systems, pre-

sales and after sales services; g) industrial (financial, labor, technological and organizational) discipline at micro and macro level; g) the state's susceptibility to regulating the market through socio-economic, monetary, financial, structural-investment, scientific and technical policies, the state's readiness to strictly regulate the principles of competition, social protection of the population (including control over pricing).

Any discussion of the forms and models of the national economy, any analysis requires a preliminary understanding of the backgrounds underlying them. In our case, such prerequisites are “free market”, “market economy”, “property”, “competition”, “socialized commodity production”, “social policy”, “social protection and assistance”.

Social division and specialization of labor are important and necessary conditions for the formation of the market. As a result of these processes, the possibility of commodity exchange and the emergence of the market as the interaction of sellers and buyers emerged. The basic meanings of this term are: firstly, the market is a mechanism that provides a link between production and consumption; secondly, the market is the place where the sales operations are carried out; thirdly, the market is the place of residence of people who interact through commodity exchange; fourthly, there are sectoral markets (securities market, intellectual property market), and fifthly, the market as a way of selling goods in the face of two types of material relations: a planned economy and a free market; sixthly, the market as a set of socio-economic relations in the sphere of exchange, in which the sale of goods takes place. As a basis, we can cite this definition in its modern sense. A free market is a social institution designed to reconcile fundamentally opposite prices and sellers, with each participant in the process being equal with others, no one having power over others, and no superior authority to look after interests except for the sellers and buyers themselves.

The functioning of the free market is conditioned by the following circumstances: 1) the presence of competition, that is, the struggle for survival, in which all means are used: the laws of the market and non-economic methods of influencing competitors; 2) supply and demand, that are the main mechanism of market functioning; 3) risk as the law of entrepreneurship, which can be different: economic (low rate of profit), commercial (default partners), political (not predictable actions of government and higher echelons of power); 4) bankruptcy as the result of competition for those who do not provide adequate activities; 5) spontaneity of the market: there is a circle until regulatory forces emerge; 6) conjuncture, which characterizes the state of the market and the moment, that is, the interacting forces of the market; 7) unity of prices, their homogeneity; 8) market anarchy, which occurs when there is no governing function of political bodies in a free market; 9) market capacity, which is characterized by turnover; 10) the law of value is the basic economic law in connection with which the exchange of equivalents takes place in the process of purchase and sale of goods; 11) the advantages of large-scale



production, that are the ability to make fuller use of market conditions, more sophisticated equipment, labor organization, etc.; 12) concentration of production as the increase the production in size at large enterprises; 13) centralization of production is the desire of entrepreneurs to unite for gaining economic benefits in the fight against competitors; 14) differentiation of producers is a property stratification for the rich and poor; 15) the expropriation of producers is the ruin of some and the enrichment of the others, the alienation of direct producers from ownership of means of production and products of labor; 17) proletarianization as the transformation of direct producers into employees; 18) priority accumulation of capital as the concentration of means of production in the market entities that become the organizers of the economy; 19) the relative share of each manufacturer in the total volume of market operations; 20) availability of full information on prices and terms of sale of goods from all market entities; 21) availability of different forms of ownership of the means of production; 22) absence of monopoly and other leading forces in the market.

Each of the laws and features of the market mentioned above has a specific autonomy and its own vector of action on its development. However, they can act simultaneously or sequentially, globally, or unidirectionally. There are several basic economic laws that fundamentally affect the formation and functioning of the market. These are first and foremost the law of competition, the law of value, the law of supply and demand. Under these laws a socially oriented market and a social market economy are built. Thus, the law of demand is inversely proportional to the change in demand with price changes. The main determinants of demand are the price of the goods. But as the market develops, demand is multifactorial and depends on non-price determinants: consumer tastes, fashion, number of buyers of goods, consumer incomes, prices for related products, etc. When analyzing demand, it is important to evaluate the extent of its magnitude, which is usually determined by the notion of “elasticity” of demand. This phenomenon is desirable, but difficult to evaluate. Such demand occurs when purchases rise faster than prices. All other cases are considered as inelastic demand. The law of supply expresses the direct dependence of the change of supply in comparison with the change of prices, that is, the price increase leads to the increase of supply and vice versa. The main determinant of demand is price. Non-price determinants include: improvement of production technology, taxes and subsidies, number of sellers on the market. Equality of supply and demand is called equilibrium of the market, and prices that meet supply and demand are equilibrium prices. Due to the reverse economic dependencies, the market, in turn, acts on production. Due to the market exchange the realization and coordination of an important component of economic interests of manufacturers and buyers is carried out. Market management instruments act simultaneously as sanctions mechanisms: firstly, profit and loss; secondly, property income or labor income generated by the use of goods and services;

thirdly, the opportunity for economic growth and social prestige for both integrated and individual market players.

You can agree with the opinion of economists I.M. Bratyshchev and A.S. Makaryan, who believe that in a modern market economy, its mechanism cannot be based solely on the law of supply and demand, although, of course, depends on it, as well as on other economic laws [1, p. 21].

But the concept acknowledges market failure. P. Kozlowski believes that the term “market insufficiency” is more appropriate than the term “market failure”, since the word “failure” gives the impression of a general market collapse and the existence of a state-protected alternative to failures [5].

The concept recognizes the fact that government failures and government decisions based on errors regarding economic realities can occur as often as cases of market failure and insufficiency. It also acknowledges the fact that state failures are more critical to economic performance than individual market failures. There is considerable asymmetry between the defects of the market and the state: the defects of the market can be corrected by the state; in this regard, the deficiencies of the state are much harder to neutralize than the deficiencies of the market. Since the state is an institution of the highest order, it can only correct itself, but the task of self-correction is extremely difficult.

In the concept of a socially oriented national economy, the idea of equilibrium and compensation is central to three sectors: the overall availability of capital for medium and small enterprises, the offsetting of market failures in environmental protection, and the implementation of social policy objectives. After all, social policy and social protection must offset the risks of industrial production and provide insurance against unforeseen circumstances, personal troubles and needs. Therefore, the concept of a socially oriented national economy recognizes the possible interference of the state not only in an industry where the market mechanism does not work, but also in those areas of social life where, based on the principle of social responsibility, the state has an important role to play. The state intervenes in the sphere of market activity in order to form a system of social support for the population. In addition, the state intervention is necessary in cases where an independently functioning market mechanism can lead to socially dangerous outcomes, and therefore a structural and regional policy must be pursued by the state. In this connection, it is possible to distinguish the main spheres of a socially oriented market economy policy, which are based on balancing the social and market components.

That is, a socially oriented national economy is such a model in which the state creates reliable legal and social framework conditions for the implementation of economic initiative, which lies solely in the sphere of individual market decisions. By creating the institutional conditions for the effective functioning of the market mechanism, the state reserves the opportunity to react when its action may lead to social risks. As a result, the

state tends to pursue a policy that can create the necessary legal, economic and administrative institutions for the free exercise of human capacity.

## **Part 2. Competitive environment as a prerequisite for the state social development policy**

Another important prerequisite for the state social development policy is a competitive environment. Competition acts as the market regulator of the economy, which encourages all businesses to make the most efficient use of scarce resources. On the basis of streamlining the technological processing of these resources, an increase in the production of goods and services is achieved, and therefore also tax revenues to the budget, through which the relevant social programs are implemented. Thus, the formation of a model of socially oriented national economy is nothing more than the introduction of framework conditions that will maximally contribute to the implementation of competition policy and the formation of the open competitive environment in the country.

Competition policy is a central element that directly affects the overall effectiveness of the functioning of the entire socio-economic system. In this sense, the concept of a social market economy is based on the premise of prioritizing economic efficiency over social charity of the state. Competition may reduce the role of monopolies and lead to higher incomes, but it remains a mechanism that is neutral to human values and goals. Any adjustments can be made in the state to help constructively transition to a new competition policy. That is, the effective competition policy and competition protection must be the goals of the state.

The tendency towards regeneration of the system of “underground” competition, which is based not on open forms of competition inherent in the socially oriented economy, but on so-called “clan” principles, cannot be overlooked. Such principles encourage entrepreneurs not to rationalize the use of their abilities in a system of stable rules of a market economy, but to approach authorities in order to influence the formation of these rules in their own interests, or to obtain benefits and access to exclusive sources of economic information. As a result, competition occurs by rules when success in the market is determined not by the ability to conduct effective business activity, but by the ability to eliminate competitors from it through administrative, financial-oligarchic or political levers.

At the same time, the economic system inherent in the described system of “clan competition” extends to all spheres of public life, from political to social protection of the population. In such a situation, any market liberalization, as a rule, only leads to the deepening of existing imbalances, the further monopolization of markets and all spheres of public life. Moreover, the strengthening of the “social” component is necessarily accompanied by the redistribution of the relevant budget resources through the corrupt channels of the distribution system. Thus, the social market economy cannot rely on

closed models of post-socialist “clan” competition. Its primary task in a market economy should be the adoption of rules and principles inherent in competitive order, with its social responsibility, openness and transparency of all forms of market struggles, the establishment of moral principles of entrepreneurship, a clear definition of the functions and role of government in this system. The implementation of the described conceptual principles of the competitive order allows to achieve the effect of self-regulation on the use and redistribution of available in the state natural, labor and other limited economic resources [7, p. 57].

In this case open competition acts as the main regulatory tool that provides for the expansion of the range of influence and power of more efficient competitors and counterbalances the value of less competitive and therefore less efficient ones. The socio-economic system maximizes the rational use of its resource potential and improves the competitive position of entrepreneurs on the world stage. The state is gaining authority and recognition in the world, its citizens enjoy a sufficient level of social protection, and business structures are involved in the process of shaping major economic trends.

In this case, the microeconomic environment and the social sphere achieve full stability and balance. Entrepreneurs, who work more efficiently, reduce unit costs, pay better wages for employees, get professionals, reach higher levels of income, increase payments to the budget, and thus, create the basis for increasing social payments to the population. The system of financial institutions serves and accelerates the process of redistribution of public resource in favor of more efficient enterprises, contributes to the improvement of its use on a social scale. Only competition for the consumer, credit resources, working capital can serve as an effective tool for determining the best and most successful participants in the market process.

A prerequisite for maintaining competitive order in the social market economy is the availability of stable currency and free pricing. Only if they exist, can the market agents be sure that commodity exchange is on a stable basis and that economic and financial proportions remain important? Otherwise, demand and supply in the markets occur under conditions of “deformed standards”, when the unit of value of the same product changes in a time-dependent measure, depending on the level of inflation. That is, competition cannot be open unless prices reflect the real exchange value of goods [7, p. 58]. If it is possible to maintain stability in the financial market, despite the recent trend of increasing hidden inflation and deficits of the state budget, then the price factor will remain favorable for the implementation of competition policy and implementation of the principles of the social market economy.

The other important areas of competitive order formation are problematic today. Firstly, it is the legislative consolidation and practical implementation of antitrust mechanisms for regulating the economy. As practice shows, today the authorities of Ukraine are called upon to protect the society from

monopolistic abuse of certain business groups, but in practice they are advocates of the interests of monopolistic associations and clan groups, thus contributing to the deepening of market distortions and neglect of the monopolies by themselves.

Secondly, support for market self-regulation of the socio-economic system. The main efforts of the state should be directed to reducing their presence in the areas where competitive self-regulation mechanisms are able to automatically ensure the proper efficiency of economic management and self-development of enterprises. These spheres include virtually all sectors of the economy except those that are particularly significant in terms of national security [4, p. 2405].

Thirdly, ensuring the freedom of enterprise and the dignity of the individual. The post-totalitarian Ukrainian society has been virtually devoid of any opportunity to uphold its own interests, dignity and morality. Fourthly, the realization of the priorities of public interest over the personal interests of individual members of society. This principle, which is an axiom of the social market economy, does not find in Ukraine virtually any support at the state level, in the environment of entrepreneurs or among the population. Prolonged differentiation in the social psychology of its own and other's state distorted citizens' perceptions of public interest, distorted their understanding of activities for the benefit of society. Social in the minds of most modern Ukrainians is associated with the state, which means foreign and needed by no one. Private and group interests, clan morality are increasingly hampering the realization of public interest in the classical sense. A vivid confirmation may be the privatization or brazing of collective farms carried out in the state, when the interest of everyone to become the owner of a piece of the former state property was put above the interest of the whole society for its maximum effective use [7, p. 60]. These difficulties significantly complicate the implementation of competitive order in the modern Ukraine. They create obstacles in virtually all areas of open competition policy.

Legislators' decision-making on competition cannot take place against the backdrop of spontaneous political interests, as stronger social groups will "tyrannize power" and adapt laws to meet their own interests. Adam Smith drew attention to this feature. This means that in society there is a need for legislation to serve the common welfare, so competition rules in the market must be fair to all. V. Aiken introduced the concept of "full competition" for this and justified it by saying that restrictions on competition must be declared illegal and that a developed system of competitive markets allows the weakest members of society to lead a dignified life. Therefore, the purpose of the concept of a socially oriented market economy is to combine freedom of enterprise and initiative with the social policies provided by market-based management methods.

Consequently, the transition to a socially oriented national economy is ensured by the interaction between production and consumption through the

market, state regulation and specific public institutions, and is based on socialized commodity production. The socialized commodity production itself is another prerequisite for the functioning of the economic mechanism. Socialized commodity production is the highest stage of commodity production, which operates on the basis of social partnership and progress. In this form of commodity production, collective ownership dominates in the form of joint-stock ownership. It is known that simple, classic and socialized commodity production is characterized by similar and distinct features. As a result, producers of goods are at the same time owners of factors of production and take part in the management of the production process.

When a social partnership is established in society, the actions of the authorities, entrepreneurs, employees and society are coordinated on the dynamics of wage and social assistance payments. In socialized commodity production, large enterprises that use modern management methods play a leading role.

Thus, the economic mechanism of a socially oriented market economy contains the organizational structure of production (vertical and horizontal), specific forms of functioning of the economic system (state and non-state regulation of the market), as well as a specific institutional base (including its legislative component).

In any economy, the control mechanism plays a role that is similar to that of an engine in a machine. Not only its constituents determine its effective work but also the use of specific regulators. The interconnection of regulators is shown in Fig. 1.1.


The figure illustrates that the regulatory subsystems of the economic mechanism interact. Moreover, they may be present in the market or out of sight of market forces.

The first category includes systems that are formed by large economic structures (such as financial-industrial corporations), which, on the one hand, operate according to the laws of the market, and on the other – independently regulate the processes that take place in the market space. The second category is the system of state regulation of the market, including the central banking system.

The analysis of the economic mechanism shows that in reality there is no direct correlation between competition and market efficiency. There is a certain optimum at which competition ceases to produce results, which stimulates the production and marketing of goods. For example, if  $K$  – the contribution of competition to economic dynamics,  $S$  – the contribution of regulatory state factors, and  $K + S + N = 100$  the contribution of regulatory non-state factors and  $K/S + N$ , then the optimal ratio is  $K = K_{opt}$ , i.e. if the degree of competition is high, then the parameter decreases  $K/S + N$ .

This is due to the increase in the share of large economic structures and the expansion of their market scope. The advantage of the structures is provided by: a) a wide access to sources of funding for long-term development

programs; b) increased capacity for assimilation and production of new technologies and their introducing into production; c) development of the marketing network and the ability to provide information support for their use in an expanded market space; d) the opportunity for a better perception of the market.




**Fig. 1.1. Interdependent influence of the mechanism of market relations and the economic policy of the state in the socially oriented national economy**

*Developed by the author*

Analysis of the evolution of the market shows that the optimal ratio  $K/S+N$  is markedly reduced to the complete displacement of competition from the economy. A similar situation may result from a fall in resource potential. The principle of balancing, that is, balancing the processes that take place in a market economy is of fundamental importance. Although in developed countries, the opportunity to achieve equilibrium production support through inequitable exchanges with other countries, as well as internal tax increases have exhausted itself, yet the balancing process remains. For example, it may take the form of a state subsidy (Fig. 1.2). In a socially oriented economy, virtually all costs are regarded as balancing. The optimal share of such expenditures (for example, subsidies to maintain the level of

prices in a particular area, investment and R&D expenditures, social expenditures) should be at least 40% of GDP [1, p. 62]. This proportion depends directly on the state of the economy. It is higher than the larger possibilities of the state. In the budgets of developed countries, this figure is at least 35%, and this is considered justified in terms of economic and social efficiency.



**Fig. 1.2. Relationship between the market core of the socially oriented economy and the balancing regulator of the economic mechanism**

*Developed by the author*

Addressing critical issues of poverty, inequality in the medium term the state should focus on deepening social assistance and expanding its scope of a social security, reforming this sector, intensifying community development interventions.

The core of a socially oriented national economy is a market economy the main purpose and goal of which are to increase the production efficiency and profit. Market economy, reaching its main goal, to some extent automatically solves some social problems: ensuring employment, payment of wages for labor results. But a market economy is competition-based and has no business to do with social justice. Social justice, social protection are ensured by state intervention in the economy (redistribution of created goods, tax policy and legal support). That is, the state voluntarily, rather than the market economy



itself, returns it to the interests of society and gives it the status of a socially oriented market economy. In such circumstances, the state often sacrifices economic efficiency for the sake of social protection of the population. Therefore, one of the important criteria of a socially oriented market economy is the priority of social protection, social justice over economic efficiency, carried out for the sake of social peace and secured by the implementation of state social policy.

Thus, the economic mechanism of a socially oriented national economy is a complex structure and system of interconnections. It includes: a) a system of balanced markets; b) public sector of economy; c) large economic structures that fulfill the regulatory role of the state for the economy as a whole and at the same time increase the market sensitivity to medium and long-term programs of its development; d) public sector administrative and production subsystem; e) system of operative state regulation of economy; e) a budget-balancing system with a powerful core; g) regulatory framework governing mid-market processes [3, p. 97].

In rather difficult conditions, Ukraine produces the parameters of its own national model of socio-economic development for the long term. The country has not yet formulated or implemented an effective model of economic development that would fully reflect its national characteristics and interests and become a macroeconomic base for effective state regulation.

The formation of the model of the national economy has its own peculiarities of macroeconomic policy. Macroeconomic policy is a policy of governmental institutions and institutions aimed at regulating economic processes in order to ensure long-term economic growth. The main forms of macroeconomic policy are: credit, tax, monetary, investment, innovative, financial, structural, industrial, scientific, agricultural, anti-cyclical, human resources, foreign economic, social and environmental. In Ukraine, macroeconomic policy began with the formation of science-based government economic programs.

Analytical and forecast macroeconomic models that operate on aggregate indicators: GDP, national income, accumulation and consumption funds, aggregate demand and supply indicators, etc. are used to study macroeconomic development problems in Ukraine. Among the known macroeconomic models that are used to forecast the development of the national economy and analyze the structure of the economy there are the following: 1) macromodels of the economy Ukraine-1 and Ukraine-2, which are designed to make medium-term forecasts of key indicators; 2) macromodels of the UKR-MACRO-3 and UKR-MACRO-4 economics, in which the social sphere and the market of goods and services are forecasted among six subsystems; 3) budget modeling system; 4) a medium-term forecasting model that operates on real GDP; 5) quarterly model of real GDP forecasting [6].

The peculiarities of the macroeconomic policy in Ukraine should be aimed at overcoming the systemic crisis of socio-economic development. The policy

should be transformational and based on inclusive economic growth [2, p. 113].

The priority goals of the national model of socially oriented development are economic stabilization, high level and quality of life of the population, harmonious social relations, stable dynamics of economic development. Thus, the search, selection, definition of forms and methods, factors and mechanisms, the role of which ensure the implementation of these tasks in practice, is actualized.

### **Conclusions**

Since the beginning of economic reforms in Ukraine, domestic science has raised claims about the lack of a clear theoretical base and practical recommendations regarding the strategy and tactics of a radical transformation of the socio-economic system for the further development of the national economy of the country. It is clear that the formation and development of a national model of socio-economic development is impossible today without the active involvement of state regulation, but governmental structures and public authorities are building their activities in accordance with the theoretical canons of liberalism. The national strategy for building a socially oriented model of economic development is the problem of choosing between the speed of such formation and the achievement of the desired effect. In today's context, the evolutionary formation of such a model takes time, which determines the backwardness of the country from other countries in the western world, and may be accompanied in the future by a loss of production and resource potential and rising economic costs.

Therefore, an effective national model of socially oriented economy should be formed, it should be the result of the implementation of a strategy, within which it is necessary to harmonize the target orientations of market transformations, anti-crisis regulation, structural and technological restructuring, improving the level and quality of life of the population, stabilizing the economy and inclusion in the world economic space. This strategy should be based on high, dignified and at the same time attainable long-term goals that will transform Ukraine into a dynamically developing country basing on intensive work and business initiative and consistent economic policy.

On the way to building a national model of socially oriented economy in order to ensure the continuity of the modernization process, constant in nature, there should be a transfer process, through which daily accumulation and use of specialized knowledge takes place. The result of innovative activity should come to the everyday life. Therefore, in a socially oriented economy, the intellectual potential of the society on which it relies and which is a set of everyday and specialized scientific knowledge, which are accumulated in the minds of people and materialized in the technological way of production, is crucial.

The formation and development of the national model of socially oriented economy requires the transition from the so-called investment, as a rule, extensive, type of development to a qualitatively new, effective, innovative type of development, based on the acceleration of scientific and technological renewal of production, its intensification, reduction, production costs and increase its profitability. It not only increases the funding sources, but it also opens up the possibility of achieving the optimum ratio between production, storage and consumption, profound radical changes.

### References:

1. Bratishchev I. M. (2003) Social market economy and the mechanism of its formation in Russia. – M.: CJSC “Publishing House” Economics”, 216 p.
2. Golovnya O. M. (2017) Derzhavna policy of social development of Ukraine in the context of secure stability of economy: (theory, methodology, perspectives): monograph / OM Smut. – Zaporizhzhia. : Vidavnychy dim “Helvetica”, 492 p.
3. Golovnya, O. M. (2019) Innovative financing technologies for social development of the national economy. *Scientific Bulletin of the Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas (series “Economics and Management in the Oil and Gas Industry”*, 2(20), 93-102.
4. Kinash, I., Andrusiv, U., Golovnia, O & Popadynets, I. (2019) Aspects of the formation and development of innovation infrastructure in Ukraine. *Management Science Letters*, Volume 9(13), P. 2403-2414.
5. Kozlowski P. Synthesis of social balancing (Ausgleich) and guarantees of free action of market forces [Electronic resource]. – Access mode: <http://sites.google.com/a/>
6. Malish N. A. (2010) Theoretical ambush prompt macroeconomic models of sovereign politics. *Actual problems of sovereign governance*. – Volume 2. – P. 47–53.
7. Social market economy: an organization for Ukraine / R. Klapgam, M. Lohmann, O. Oliynik ta in. : as amended R. Klapgama. – 2nd view. -TO. : FADA, LTD. – 2006. – 88 p.

Izdevniecība “Baltija Publishing”  
Valdeķu iela 62 - 156, Rīga, LV-1058

---

Iespiests tipogrāfijā SIA “Izdevniecība “Baltija Publishing”  
Parakstīts iespiešanai: 2019. gada 27. decembris  
Tirāža 300 eks.