



WORLD SCIENCE

Multidisciplinary Scientific Edition



WORLD SCIENCE

№ 10(50) Vol.2, October 2019

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ws

Copies may be made only from legally acquired originals.

A single copy of one article per issue may be downloaded for personal use

(non-commercial research or private study). Downloading or printing multiple copies is not permitted. Electronic Storage or Usage Permission of the Publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this work, including any chapter or part of a chapter. Permission of the Publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations. Except as outlined above, no part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Publisher – RS Global Sp. z O.O.,

Scientific Educational Center Warsaw, Poland

Numer KRS: 0000672864 REGON: 367026200 NIP: 5213776394 Publisher Office's address:

Dolna 17, lok. A_02 Warsaw, Poland, 00-773

Website: https://ws-conference.com/ E-mail: rsglobal.poland@gmail.com

Tel: +4(857) 898 55 10

The authors are fully responsible for the facts mentioned in the articles. The opinions of the authors may not always coincide with the editorial boards point of view and impose no obligations on it.

CHIEF EDITOR

Laputyn Roman PhD in transport systems, Associate Professor, Department of Transport Systems and Road Safety, National Transport University, Ukraine

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Nobance Haitham Associate Professor of Finance, Abu Dhabi University, United Arab Emirates

Almazari Ahmad Professor in Financial Management, King Saud University-Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabia

Lina Anastassova Full Professor in Marketing, Burgas Free University, Bulgaria

Mikiashvili Nino Professor in Econometrics and Macroeconomics, Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Georgia

Alkhawaldeh Abdullah Professor in Financial Philosophy, Hashemite University, Jordan

Mendebaev Toktamys Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, LLP "Scientific innovation center "Almas", Kazakhstan

Yakovenko Nataliya Professor, Doctor of Geography, Ivanovo State University, Shuya

Mazbayev Ordenbek Doctor of Geographical Sciences, Professor of Tourism, Eurasian National, University named after L.N.Gumilev, Kazakhstan

Sentyabrev Nikolay Professor, Doctor of Sciences, Volgograd State Academy of Physical Education, Russia

Ustenova Gulbaram Director of Education Department of the Pharmacy, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Science, Kazakh National Medical University name of Asfendiyarov, Kazakhstan

Harlamova Julia Professor, Moscow State University of Railway Transport, Russia

Kalinina Irina Professor of Chair of Medicobiological Bases of Physical Culture and Sport, Dr. Sci.Biol., FGBOU VPO Sibirsky State University of Physical Culture and Sport, Russia

Imangazinov Sagit Director, Ph. D, Pavlodar affiliated branch "SMU of Semei city", Kazakhstan

Dukhanina Irina Professor of Finance and Investment Chair, Doctor of Sciences, Moscow State Medical Dental University by A. I. Evdokimov of the Ministry of health of the Russian Federation, Russian Federation

Orehowskyi Wadym Head of the Department of Social and Human Sciences, Economics and Law, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Chemivtsi Trade-Economic Institute Kyiv National Trade and Economic University, Ukraine

Peshcherov Georgy Professor, Moscow State Regional University, Russia

Mustafin Muafik Professor, Doctor of Veterinary Science, Kostanay State University named after A. Baitursynov

Ovsyanik Olga Professor, Doctor of Psychological Science, Moscow State Regional University, Russian Federation

Suprun Elina Professor, Doctor of Medicine, National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine

Kuzmenkov Sergey Professor at the Department of Physics and Didactics of Physics, Candidate of Physico-mathematical Sciences, Doctor of Pedagogic Sciences. Kherson State University

Safarov Mahmadali Doctor Technical Science, Professor Academician Academia Science Republic of Tajikistan, National Studies University "Moscow Power Institute" in Dushanbe

Omarova Vera Professor, Ph.D., Pavlodar State Pedagogical Institute, Kazakhstan

Koziar Mykola Head of the Department, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, National University of Water Management and Nature Resources Use, Ukraine

Tatarintseva Nina Professor, Southern Federal University, Russia

Sidorovich Marina Candidate of Biological Sciences, Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Full Professor, Kherson State University

Polyakova Victoria Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Vladimir Regional Institute for Educational Development Name L. I. Novikova, Russia

Issakova Sabira Professor, Doctor of Philology, The Aktyubinsk regional state university of K. Zhubanov, Kazakhstan

Kolesnikova Galina Professor, Taganrog Institute of Management and Economics, Russia

Utebaliyeva Gulnara Doctor of Philological Science, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Kazakhstan

Uzilevsky Gennady Dr. of Science, Ph.D., Russian Academy of National Economy under the President of the Russian Federation, Russian Federation

Krokhmal Nataliia Professor, Ph.D. in Philosophy, National Pedagogical Dragomanov University, Ukraine

Chornyi Oleksii D.Sc. (Eng.), Professor, Kremenchuk Mykhailo Ostrohradskyi National University

Pilipenko Oleg Head of Machine Design Fundamentals Department, Doctor of Technical Sciences, Chernigiv National Technological University, Ukraine

Nyyazbekova Kulanda Candidate of pedagogical sciences, Kazakhstan

Cheshmedzhieva Margarita Doctor of Law, South-West University "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Svetlana Peneva MD, dental prosthetics, Medical University - Varna, Bulgaria

Rossikhin Vasiliy Full dr., Doctor of Legal Sciences, National Law University named after Yaroslav the Wise, Ukraine

Pikhtirova Alina PhD in Veterinary science, Sumy national agrarian university, Ukraine

Temirbekova Sulukhan Dr. Sc. of Biology, Professor, Federal State Scientific Institution All-Russia Selection-Technological Institute of Horticulture and Nursery, Russian Federation

Tsymbaliuk Vitalii Professor, Doctor of Medicine, The State Institution Romodanov Neurosurgery Institute National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine

CONTENTS

ECONOMY

FINANCIAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT IN REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA IN THE CONTEXT OF THE NEW INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL ARCHITECTURE	4
Samborska Oksana, Zubar Ivan A PRODUCTION OF BIOGAZ IS ON WASTES OF ALCOHOL INDUSTRY OF UKRAINE	10
Чечетова Н. Ф., Чечетова-Терашвілі Т. М. ФІНАНСОВА ГРАМОТНІСТЬ ЯК ЗАПОРУКА УСПІХУ УПРАВЛІННЯ ОСОБИСТИМИ ФІНАНСАМИ	14
MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING	
Хару та В. С., Головко Б. С. ПЛАНУВАННЯ Й ОРГАНІЗАЦІЯ ДІЯЛЬНОСТІ МАРКЕТИНГОВИХ КАНАЛІВ ПІДПРИЄМСТВА	21
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	
<i>Марутян Рена</i> ІНТЕЛЕКТУАЛЬНІ РЕСУРСИ ДЕРЖАВНОГО УПРАВЛІННЯ: ОСОБЛИВОСТІ ВИКОРИСТАННЯ ТА ВІДТВОРЕННЯ	28
ART	
Довжинець І. Г. ГАСТРОЛІ ІТАЛІЙЦІВ В СУМАХ: ПОГЛЯД ЧЕРЕЗ СТО РОКІВ	33
PEDAGOGY	
Бондарець Марія ПОГЛЯДИ ПЕДОЛОГІВ НА ПРИЧИНИ ПОЯВИ «МОРАЛЬНО ДЕФЕКТИВНИХ» ДІТЕЙ У ПУБЛІКАЦІЯХ В ПЕДАГОГІЧНИХ ЖУРНАЛАХ (20-30 pp. XX ст.)	38
PSYCHOLOGY	
Дорожкин В. Р., Савченко Е. В. ПСИХОАНАЛИТИЧЕСКИЙ ТРЕНИНГ КАК ИНСТРУМЕНТ РАЗВИТИЯ ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ГРАНИЦ ПОДРОСТКА	44
PHILOLOGY	
Аллахверди Маммадли ШКОЛА «МОЛЛА НАСРЕДДИН» В КОНТЕКСТЕ ПРИНЦИПОВ ЛИТЕРАТУРИОЙ ШКОЛЫ	52
<i>Шоалиева Наргиза</i> РЕЛИГИЯ В ТВОРЧЕСТВЕ СУХРАБА СИПЕХРИ	56

A PRODUCTION OF BIOGAZ IS ON WASTES OF ALCOHOL INDUSTRY OF UKRAINE

Dr. of economics **Samborska Oksana**, assistant **Zubar Ivan** Ukraine, Vinnytsia, Vinnytsia national agrarian university

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ws/31102019/6724

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: 28 August 2019 Accepted: 12 October 2019 Published: 31 October 2019 Effective utilization of wastes of an alcohol industry is investigational in bioenergetics with the aim of reduction to energy dependence of enterprises, providing of power autonomy of subjects of menage, increase of economic efficiency, improvement of ecological situation.

KEYWORDS

biogaz, wastes, energy, efficiency, biomass.

Citation: Samborska Oksana, Zubar Ivan. (2019) A Production of Biogaz is on Wastes of Alcohol Industry of Ukraine. *World Science*. 10(50), Vol.2. doi: 10.31435/rsglobal_ws/31102019/6724

Copyright: © 2019 Samborska Oksana, Zubar Ivan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Introduction. Ukraine is a leader in Europe after the amount of wastes. The indexes of piling up of wastes testify to the threatening ecological situation in a country. Utilization of wastes in power aims will give an opportunity to decide an ecological problem and increase part of biogaz in a general energy consumption. Substituting of traditional fuel by the use of biogaz due to wastes of an alcohol industry, will give an opportunity to the enterprises to decrease the charges on power mediums - 20 % using of fuel and energy resources on 9%. Results of our researches testify that a production of such type of fuel is contradictory: high cost of the use of technique and technologies, receipt of ecological and economic consequences of the use of resources of biomass. Thus, the production of biogaz on the basis of processing of post-alcohol bard waste obtained as a result of economic activity of enterprises of the alcohol industry of Ukraine becomes relevant.

Research results. One of the important sectors of renewable energy in the world is the production and use of biogaz. A biogaz it gas that ensues methane fermentation of biomass. Decomposition of biomass takes place under act of three types of bacteria: the first kind - bacteria are hydrolized; second kind - the bacteria of acid forming; the third kind is bacteria of methanogen class.

Not only methanogen class bacteria are involved in biogaz production, but all three species are listed above. This type of gas consists of methane, its content is 55-70%, and carbon dioxide content of 45-30%, also contains other inclusions that are released in the biogaz station. By its properties, biogaz is closest to natural gas, accounting for 80-98% methane, it has no color or odor. Biogaz can be used for incineration in boilers and internal combustion engines without enrichment table 1. The most common use of biogaz is electricity and heat production. Biogaz is purified by water from CO₂ (carbon dioxide), and is brought to the properties of natural gas, such enriched gas is called biomethane.

Table 1 Basic characteristics of biogaz

Table 1. Dasic characteristics of blogdz	
Characteristics	Indicators
energy reserve in 1 m ³ of biogaz	6-6,5 KW
calorific value	4500-6300 kcal/m ³
biogaz density	1,16-1,27 кg/m ³
combustion temperature	650 - 750°C
biogaz pressure in the reactor	0,05 ATM
pressure of biogaz before consumption	rises to the specific

WORLD SCIENCE ISSN 2413-1032

Biogaz production will reduce methane emissions into the atmosphere, which has a greenhouse effect of 21 times stronger than CO_2 in the atmosphere for 12 years. Reducing methane emissions will help prevent global warming and environmental catastrophe. Recycled manure, after alcohol bard (due to drying) is used as a fertilizer for farmland, which will reduce the use of chemical fertilizers, load on groundwater.

Biogaz plants can be used as treatment facilities on farms, poultry farms, alcohol, sugar factories, meat processing plants. Alcohol processing plants produce a post-alcohol bard that contains virtually all components of the feedstock except starch. In recent years, a large number of post-alcohol bards have not been used in any way, leading to contamination of surrounding areas. In addition, because of the rise in price of fuel, transportation of liquid bards (with a solids content of 5.5 - 7.0%) over long distances is not profitable.

There are 41 of alcohol industry in Ukraine, which produce about 4 million m³ of molasses and 3.6-3.8 million m³ of grain bards per year, and about 8 million m³ of contaminated wastewater. The molasses bard at most plants is not recovered and without treatment, together with sewage, gets to the sump where it decays, polluting the ground water, air. It should be noted that the most favorable conditions for the organization of biogaz production at alcohol factories are: availability of raw materials (wastes) with the required temperature of 40-50°C, as well as secondary sources of heat (condensates, Luther water). This contributes to the organization of biogaz production at no unnecessary cost, the bioenergy fuel produced to heat the environment in methane tanks.

As a result of the activity of 2017, Ukraine alcohol managed to increase the volume of commodity products. Thus, this figure amounted to UAH 1,964 million, which is UAH 141.6 million or 7.8% higher than in 2016, which amounted to UAH 1,822.4 million. According to the results 1st quarter of 2018, the volume of commodity products at current prices amounted to UAH 369 million. In 2017, ethyl alcohol was sold in the amount of 7 444 thousand decalitres. During the 12 months of 2017, 546.5 thousand dal. of alcohol were shipped for export against 317.9 thousand dal given in 2016. In 2017, the enterprise received tax profit of UAH 15,963 million [8]. During the 1st quarter of 2018, ethyl alcohol was shipped - 1472.44 thousand dollars, including 136.02 thousand gave for export or 10.8%. UAH 65 million invested in modernization of bioethanol production and import substitution for the perfume industry. The total production capacity of Ukraine alcohol is over 36 million decaliters per year [7]. The capacity of the company, as well as the presence of waste after the production of the main product allows the use of new generation biogaz plants.

Table 2. Biogaz output from various raw materials

No	The name of the raw material	Indicator m ³ /tons
. 1	Grain bard (93% wet)	40
2	Production of alcohol, dal.	7444000
3	The output of the bards liter/1 dal.	115
4	Total output of the bards, liter	856,06
5	Biogaz output 1 tons/m ³	40
6	Potential for post-alcohol bard biogaz production, thousands/m ³	34242,4

We assume the average value of the output of the post-alcohol bard for 115 dal. at 1 dal. alcohol. Such highly concentrated wastewater is characterized by a high content of organic contaminants contained in the dissolved and undissolved state, the chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen consumption (BOD) reach 40-150 g/dm³ and 20-90 g/dm³, respectively. The creation of combined systems for the processing of waste data into biogaz with its further combustion would significantly improve the economic, environmental performance of alcohol production. Chemical composition of biogaz produced during methane digestion at alcohol plants table 3.

Table 3. Comparative characteristics of natural gas and biogaz

Kind of gas	Natural gas	Biogaz	
CH ₄ - methane	98,9 %	69,3 %	
CO ₂ - carbon dioxide	0,06%	30,2%	
N20 - nitrogen	0,9	0,2%	
O2 - oxygen	0	0,3	
C2H6 - ethane	0,12	0	
C3H8 - propane	0,01	0	
C4H10 - butane	0,01	_0	
heat combustion, kJ/m ³	35503	24890	

WORLD SCIENCE ISSN 2413-1032

The main gas in alcohol production is natural gas with this composition. The gas we replace is a mixture of biogaz and natural gas. If all biogaz is burned in one plant, it will be necessary to burn $0.096~\text{m}^3/\text{sec.}$ of biogaz and $0.1697~\text{m}^3/\text{sec.}$ of natural gas to provide the required burner heat capacity. The share of biogaz in the mixture is 0.361 the share of natural gas is 0.639. The mixture of gases in this case will have the following chemical composition: CH4cm = 88,21%; C2H6cm = 0.077%; C3H8cm = 0.00639%; C4H10cm = 0.00639%; CO₂ cm = 10.94%; N2cm = 0.6473%; O₂cm = 0.1083%, combustion heat Q = $31641.7~\text{kJ/m}^3$.

Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions in electricity and heat production (through the use of biogaz instead of carbon-intensive energy resources) will include an assessment of the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and nitrous oxide (N_2O). We calculate the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from the replacement of natural gas by biogaz in heat generating installations. If use 1 TJ of natural gas is burned, 55350 kg of carbon dioxide (CO_2), 1 kg of methane (CH_4) and 0.1 kg of nitrous oxide (N_2O) will be emitted. Utilization of natural gas in cogeneration units 413798 TJ (or 9.8834 million tons) of natural gas GHG emissions in the amount of 11.463 million/t. Use of 1TJ of natural gas in cogeneration units generates 55,405 tonnes of carbon dioxide. Thus, at one plant, with a capacity of 7,000 decalitres of ethanol per day, it is possible to produce 4.07 million m3 of biogaz and about 8000 tonnes of ecological fertilizer during the year. The use of biogaz in the boiler-house of the plant will save 2.4 million m3 of natural gas per year.

The total cost of running biogaz plants at Ukrainian alcohol beverages will be € 6.92 million table 4.

Table 4. Alcohol factories that produce fuel bioethanol.

3.0	The state of the s						
No		Power,	Output of	Total cost of the			
	Factories	(thousand dal.)	the bards	project, EUR million			
			(liter)	(excl. VAT)			
	Bar alcohol factory	886	101890	1,38			
	Gaysin alcohol factory	886	101890	1,38			
	Lochwitz alcohol factory	1770	203550	2,76			
4	Luzhansky alcohol factory	1012	116380	1,4			
	<u>Total</u>	4554	523710	6,92			

Source: Developed by the authors

The experiment was conducted on the installation of continuous biogaz with the volume of a reactor 4m^3 manufactured by «Kobza-Energy». The fermentation process was carried out under anaerobic conditions in the mesophilic regime at $t^\circ = 35 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, the degree of filling of the reactor 80%. The postalcohol bard (PSB) of the Gaysin alcohol factory was used as raw material, the chicken manure (KP) was obtained from the LLC «Vinnytsia Poultry Factory» in Ladyzhyn and cattle manure (GVRH). The composition of biogaz was determined by standard method using a gas chromatograph brand LHM-8-MD.

The purpose of the experimental studies is to justify the optimal substrate ratio to maximize biogaz yield after the alcohol bard. In the table 5 the results of the study, with the aim of increasing the pH level for fermentation of post-alcohol bard, it is proposed to use chicken manure, which is a favorable substrate for microorganisms and is able to maximize biogaz yield up to 118.9 m³/1t and increase methane content up to 68%. The total biogaz output and the specific methane output are maximally ensured at the PSB/ KP/GVR ratio of 30:50:20.

Table 5. Research results

No	Material content, (%)		Biogaz output,	Methane content	
745	PSB	KP	GVR	$(m^3/1 t)$	(%)
1.	15	55	30	71,5	69
2.	30	50	20	118,9	68
3.	35	40	25	22,7	55
4.	40	15	45	73,2	68

Source: Developed by the authors

The reactor volume was calculated based on the capacity utilization of 200 days per year. As a result of anaerobic digestion of the grain bard in the biogaz plant, 8319.3 m³/day of biogaz with a heat of combustion of 24.89 MJ/m³ can be obtained. Natural gas price for industry 7 755,60 UAH/1000m³ cost of installation of cogeneration equipment for Bar and Gaysin alcohol factory - UAH 249,85 million, Lokhvytsia alcohol factory - UAH 378,83 million, Luhansk experimental plant - 216,7 million UAH.

At the thermal power plant of the plants where the experiment was conducted, steam generators DKVR-10-23 were installed where natural gas was the working fuel. In order to evaluate

WORLD SCIENCE ISSN 2413-1032

the possibility of burning the fuel mixture in existing burners, the gas interchangeability was estimated according to the calculations [6].

The interchangeability of gases means the possibility of burning them in burners without violating the passport characteristics. The calculations showed that in the case of burning of the mixture BG:PG = 13%:87% it is necessary to increase the pressure on the burners by 10%. Based on the obtained author's results, it is possible to substantiate the economic efficiency of the post-alcohol bard recycling project at selected distilleries, table 6.

Table 6. Economic efficiency of biogaz production at Ukrainian alcohol factories

Bar alcohol Gaysin alcohol Lochwitz alcohol Luzhansky alcoh				
Indicators	Bar alcohol	•		-
	factory	factory	factory	factory
Volume bioreactor, at present	12000	12000	24000	14000
occupancy, m ³	12000	12000	24000	14000
Output biogaz, millions m ³	12,11	12,12	24,14	13,84
Need for natural gas, millions m ³	5,3	5,4	10,6	6,07
The optimal biogaz / natural gas	12/07	12/07	12/07	12/07
mixture, %	13/87	13/87	13/87	13/87
Excess biogaz, millions m ³	11,3	11,3	17,14	9,8
The amount of electricity	16,95	16,95	25,7	14,7
produced, millions KW	10,93	10,93	23,7	14,7
Cost of electricity sold "green tariff"	38,98	38,99	59,11	33,87
Saving money on natural gas	6.20	6.25	54.22	31,33
purchases, millions grn.	6,28	6,35	54,32	31,33
Investment, millions grn.	288,45	288,45	456,13	255,9
Payback period, years	7	7	4	4

Source: Developed by the authors

Strategic priorities for the revival of the alcohol industry should include the modernization and reorganization of all production sites of the enterprise. This is aimed at:

- reduction of production costs due to the use of secondary energy resources;
- comprehensive introduction of renewable fuel technology;
- biogaz production after the alcohol bard;
- Reducing the use of natural resources (artesian water).

Utilizing the unused capacities of the alcohol industry by converting it to bioethanol production will allow it to meet its needs and restore the work of a large number of enterprises of the alcohol industry, improve the financial situation of the alcohol industry, increase budget revenues at all levels. The main advantages of the Ukraine alcohol factory in the biofuel market are the availability of appropriate equipment, highly qualified professional staff, placement in the raw areas, well-developed infrastructure (domestic, transport), provision of the required amount of process water, considerable experience in the industry.

Conclusions. Biogaz production at the region's distilleries will have the following effect on the region's economy: increase the region's energy independence; reduce the cost of alcohol plants for energy; to improve the ecological status of water resources of the region; reduce greenhouse gas emissions; to provide livestock with protein feed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Журнал Пропозиція. [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://www.propozitsiya.com/?page=149&itemid=2985&number=98
- 2. Інноваційні аспекти виробництва біопалива в Україні: стан, проблеми, перспективи [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://www.nbuv.gov.ua/portal/chem_biol/nvnau/2009_142_1/09sva.pdf
- 3. Перспективи і проблеми виробництва біопалива в Україні [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://www.rusnauka.com/SND/Tecnic/6_mindjuk.doc.htm
- 4. Розвиток біопаливного сегмента ПЕК в Україні [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://www.db.niss.gov.ua/docs/energy/BioPal.pdf
- 5. Стаи розвитку виробництва біопалива в Україні [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://bioresurs.com.ua/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id= 5&Itemid=6&exnsid=93
- 6. Лук'янець А. С. Особливості синтезу системи виробництва і спалювання біогазу на спиртзаводі. Сучасні технології, матеріали і конструкції в будівництві. 2018. № 2. С. 145-151.
- 7. [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: https://glavcom.ua/vinnytsia/news/u-bershadi-na-vinnichchini-gotuyutsya-vidnoviti-virobnictvo-derzhavnoji-gorilki-580398.html.
- 8. Офіційний сайт Державного підприємства «Укрспирт». [Електронний ресурс]. Режим доступу: http://ukrspirt.com/news/novini_pidpriemstva/strategichni-prioriteti-vidro-1-ennya-spirtovoyi-galuzi-v-konteksti-zmicnennya-energetichnoyi-samodostatnosti-ukrayini.ht